

Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 6222 SB	Title: Long-term care programs
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------

Estimated Cash Receipts

Agency Name	2007-09		2009-11		2011-13	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Department of Social and Health Services	0	1,394,000	0	2,956,000	0	2,924,000
Total \$	0	1,394,000	0	2,956,000	0	2,924,000

Local Gov. Courts *						
Local Gov. Other **						
Local Gov. Total						

Estimated Expenditures

Agency Name	2007-09			2009-11			2011-13		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Department of Social and Health Services	.5	10,585,000	11,979,000	1.5	23,646,000	26,602,000	1.0	23,578,000	26,502,000
Department of Health	.0	557,000	557,000	.3	2,210,000	2,210,000	.4	3,589,000	3,589,000
University of Washington	.2	0	0	.4	0	0	.4	0	0
Total	0.7	\$11,142,000	\$12,536,000	2.2	\$25,856,000	\$28,812,000	1.8	\$27,167,000	\$30,091,000

Local Gov. Courts *									
Local Gov. Other **									
Local Gov. Total									

--

Prepared by: Eric Mandt, OFM	Phone: 360-902-0543	Date Published: Revised 1/30/2008
-------------------------------------	-------------------------------	---

* See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note

** See local government fiscal note

FNPID: 18970

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 6222 SB	Title: Long-term care programs	Agency: 300-Dept of Social and Health Services
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

FUND	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
General Fund-Federal 001-2		1,394,000	1,394,000	2,956,000	2,924,000
Total \$		1,394,000	1,394,000	2,956,000	2,924,000

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
FTE Staff Years	0.0	1.0	0.5	1.5	1.0
Fund					
General Fund-State 001-1	0	10,585,000	10,585,000	23,646,000	23,578,000
General Fund-Federal 001-2	0	1,394,000	1,394,000	2,956,000	2,924,000
Total \$	0	11,979,000	11,979,000	26,602,000	26,502,000

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 01/08/2008
Agency Preparation: Melissa Clarey	Phone: 360-902-7831	Date: 01/29/2008
Agency Approval: Sue Breen	Phone: 360-902-8183	Date: 01/29/2008
OFM Review: Eric Mandt	Phone: 360-902-0543	Date: 01/30/2008

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

The bill directs the department to:

Section 3 - Develop evidence-based caregiver assessment and referral tool; have the option of providing a one-time voucher for each caregiver assessed. This voucher can be used to meet the health and safety needs of the caregiver or for the adult care recipient.

Section 4 - Expand the current information and referral services to include long-term care planning and options, information and crisis intervention, and assistance with accessing community-based services.

Section 6 - Provide additional support for clients who exhibit challenging behaviors.

Section 7 - Develop two seniors dental access projects.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Title XIX
SSDI
Food Stamp
Title 4D
Title 4E

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 3: To increase the capacity of essential support services to unpaid family caregivers will require that the Aging and Disability Services Administration:

- Complete the development of the evidence-based Tailored Caregiver Assessment and Referral (TCARE) for field implementation (no cost).
- Conduct 4 TCARE trainings estimated at \$13,500 per training = \$54,000.
- Increase the number of caregivers screened to 2,005 (1,610 current + 395 new) or by 33 percent:
 - 260 new Respite Clients @ average per cap \$4,001((16 hours of respite a month @ \$17.27 per hour for 12 months)+\$685) = \$1,040,000;
 - 135 new Respite Clients @ average per cap \$2,343 ((8 hours of respite a month @ \$17.27 per hour for 12 months)+\$685) = \$316,000;
- The cost of assessment, coordination, follow-up and travel for 395 new respite clients @\$685 = \$271,000.
- Follow-up to assessment for 1,610 current respite clients = \$157,000.
- Increase the number of non-respite cases to 1,200:
 - 325 non-respite with assessment and coordination @ \$1,369 per assessment = \$445,000
 - 480 non-respite without assessment @ \$684 per assessment = \$328,000
- One-time voucher @ \$2,500 per voucher to 20 percent (1,220) of the caregivers screened 1,220 * \$2,500 = \$3,050,000.

Section 4:

- Develop and maintain web based capacity @ 7 Area Agencies on Aging (AAAs) @ \$90,000 each in FY09 = \$630,000; an additional 6 AAAs are included in FY10 for a total of \$1,170,000.

- Information and Assistance @ \$5.00 per person for 493,625 persons under the age of 60 = \$2,468,000 each year.
- 7 local development staff to provide information @ \$83,510 per staff for ten months in FY09 = \$487,000; an additional 6 AAAs will be added in FY10 for 12 months for a total of \$1,086,000.
- 1.0 FTE for a WMS Band 2 Program Manager = \$108,000 in FY09.

Section 6:

COPES Behavior modification to 1,300 clients, @ average annual cost of \$1,847 = \$2,401,000

Section 7:

- Enhanced reimbursement rates for certified dentists proposed rate increases were based on previous rate increases made in the Children's ABCD dental program. Services that were not originally included for children but are appropriate services for the elderly are given a five percent rate increase. The proposed rate increase is phased in for the first year at a total cost of \$124,000; full year implementation is expected in FY10 at an estimated cost of \$227,000.

Contract with University of Washington (UW) School of Dentistry for training, development, and implementation = \$100,000

To complete the evaluation measuring the change in utilization rates and cost savings, the department will need .5 of an FTE for an Information Technology Specialist 5 starting in FY10 at a cost of \$71,000 in the first year and \$65,000 in FY11. This evaluation will be done in partnership with the UW.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
FTE Staff Years		1.0	0.5	1.5	1.0
A-Salaries and Wages		63,000	63,000	206,000	126,000
B-Employee Benefits		20,000	20,000	62,000	40,000
C-Personal Service Contracts				14,000	
E-Goods and Services		12,000	12,000	32,000	24,000
G-Travel		4,000	4,000	8,000	8,000
J-Capital Outlays		8,000	8,000	14,000	4,000
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services		11,771,000	11,771,000	26,062,000	26,098,000
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements		100,000	100,000	200,000	200,000
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-TZ		1,000	1,000	4,000	2,000
Total:	\$0	\$11,979,000	\$11,979,000	\$26,602,000	\$26,502,000

III. B - Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
Information Technology Specialist 5	78,900				0.5	
WMS Band 2 - Program Manager	63,000		1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0
Total FTE's			1.0	0.5	1.5	1.0

III. C - Expenditures By Program (optional)

Program	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
Long Term Care (050)		11,755,000	11,755,000	25,776,000	25,776,000
Medical Assistance (080)		224,000	224,000	690,000	726,000
Administration and Support Services (110)				136,000	
Total \$		11,979,000	11,979,000	26,602,000	26,502,000

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 6222 SB	Title: Long-term care programs	Agency: 303-Department of Health
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

FUND					
Total \$					

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
FTE Staff Years	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4
Fund					
General Fund-State 001-1	0	557,000	557,000	2,210,000	3,589,000
Total \$	0	557,000	557,000	2,210,000	3,589,000

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 01/08/2008
Agency Preparation: Danny Howard	Phone: (360) 236-4625	Date: 01/15/2008
Agency Approval: Catherine Suter	Phone: 360-236-4544	Date: 01/15/2008
OFM Review: Brian Enslow	Phone: 360-902-0539	Date: 01/15/2008

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 5: This section directs the Department of Health to develop a statewide fall prevention program that includes networking community services, identifying service gaps, making affordable senior-based, evaluated exercise programs more available, providing consumer education to older adults, their adult children, and risk identification and reduction.

Section 7: Even though chronic care and oral health are mentioned in this section, the Department of Social Health and Services are directed to implement the work of this section. No fiscal impact to the Department of Health.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 5: The department is directed to develop a statewide fall prevention program and for purposes of this fiscal note, the following implementation scenario is assumed:

Assumes costs will begin July 1, 2008 and funding will continue until all counties are complete. As more sites are added each year, costs will increase proportionately. After all counties have programs in place, maintenance level funding will be required for program sustainability.

Starting in FY 2009, there will be ongoing printing costs for publications for, Stay Active & Independent for Life; What YOU Can do to Prevent Falls; Home Safety Check List; Exercise Guide; and Exercise Instructor Manual estimated at \$40,000 per year. There will be an estimated cost of \$7,200 each year for coalition meetings throughout the state, as well as travel costs for existing staff estimated at \$4,000 each year. There will be other required conference meetings estimated at \$2,000 each year. In addition, there will also be education materials estimated at \$300 per year.

There will be one-time personal service contract costs estimated at \$25,000 in FY 2009 and \$25,000 in FY 2010 for professional development and presentation of modules for educating physicians.

In FY 2009, costs for personal service contracts estimated at \$246,900 will be required to establish community coalitions and start-up costs for the exercise classes. This includes establishing a lead agency in the community; finding and establishing the exercise sites (buying the weights, recruiting exercise instructors, and advertising) and conducting community education, including community forums. This proposal assumes the project will start with six counties. The estimated cost per site in the first year is \$41,150, based on the Pierce County model. In addition, there will be a personal services contract estimated at \$40,000 for project evaluation to assess whether the program is effective in reducing fall-related hospitalizations and related services. Each site will require a project evaluation.

The estimated cost per site starting in FY 2010 is estimated at \$38,975 due to one-time equipment purchases in the first year. It is assumed eight more project sites will begin in FY 2010, 12 more in FY 2011, and 12 more in FY 2012.

Starting in FY 2009, a contract will also need to be established with the NW Orthopaedic Institute, estimated at \$172,000 per year, to provide consultation and technical assistance on coalition development, best practices, and professional

education to new sites in each county in the state of Washington. Estimated costs are based on United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) grant expenditures and current costs from the Department of Social Health and Services, Aging & Disabilities Services Administration. These costs will be ongoing.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
FTE Staff Years				0.3	0.4
A-Salaries & Wages				23,000	36,000
B-Employee Benefits				6,000	10,000
C-Personal Serv Contr		484,000	484,000	2,051,000	3,412,000
E-Goods and Services		69,000	69,000	122,000	123,000
G-Travel		4,000	4,000	8,000	8,000
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursement					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursement					
Total:	\$0	\$557,000	\$557,000	\$2,210,000	\$3,589,000

III. B - Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
Fiscal Analyst 2	45,828				0.3	0.4
Total FTE's					0.3	0.4

III. C - Expenditures By Program (optional)

Program	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
Hlth Systems Quality Assurance (060)		543,000	543,000	2,169,000	3,530,000
Administration (090)		14,000	14,000	41,000	59,000
Total \$		557,000	557,000	2,210,000	3,589,000

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

None.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 6222 SB	Title: Long-term care programs	Agency: 360-University of Washington
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

FUND					
Total \$					

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
FTE Staff Years	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.4
Fund					
Total \$					

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 01/08/2008
Agency Preparation: Jessica Thompson	Phone: (206) 685-9955	Date: 01/15/2008
Agency Approval: Gary Quarfoth	Phone: (206) 616-2425	Date: 01/15/2008
OFM Review: Marc Webster	Phone: 360-902-0650	Date: 01/16/2008

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 7 of SB 6222 establishes two dental access projects that benefit Medicaid-insured senior age 55 and up. The bill calls for UW School of Dentistry, Department of Periodontics to work with the Department of Social and Health Services to provide training, development and implementation assistance.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

It is assumed that to implement section 7 of this bill, the University would enter into a contract with DSHS, Medicaid Program. Therefore the program expenses reported above have been 'zeroed' out by an interagency transfer so that the costs are not represented in the University fiscal note as well as the DSHS fiscal note.

This fiscal note assumes that WDSF (not UW) will be responsible for the following: CDE Certificates, IT Web page development, Statistical outcomes analysis, Advertisement, coordination of providers and facilities.

Please note that inflation or salary increases are not included in our fiscal notes.

The expenditures above would be utilized to provide training and certification for dentists, physicians and staff in the two pilot communities. The UW would also participate as a project partner to identify potential cost savings for treating periodontal disease among people with diabetes.

The expenditures detailed include salaries, benefits, travel, contract labor, equipment and supplies. They also include the standard 8% indirect cost rate common to UW contracts with the state Medicaid program.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
FTE Staff Years		0.4	0.2	0.4	0.4
A-Salaries and Wages		35,213	35,213	70,426	70,426
B-Employee Benefits		12,100	12,100	24,200	24,200
C-Personal Service Contracts		35,460	35,460	70,920	70,920
E-Goods and Services		5,820	5,820	11,640	11,640
G-Travel		4,000	4,000	8,000	8,000
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements		(100,000)	(100,000)	(200,000)	(200,000)
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-8% indirect costs		7,407	7,407	14,814	14,814
Total:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

III. B - Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
Administrator	75,317		0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2
Associate Professor, Dentistry	95,662		0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3
Total FTE's			0.4	0.2	0.4	0.4

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.