

Proposed Second Substitute House Bill 1241

H-2027.1/22

By Representative Duerr

Brief summary of HB 1241 as it passed the House:

- Increases the review and revision cycle for comprehensive plans and Shoreline Master Plans from eight to 10 years.
- Requires certain counties and cities to submit an implementation progress report with certain required information to the Department of Commerce (Commerce) five years after reviewing and revising a comprehensive plan.
- Requires counties, cities, and other local governments to consult with federally recognized tribes during the planning processes under the Growth Management Act upon receipt of notice from the tribes that they are planning or would like to plan, and requires planning and coordination with tribes on certain aspects of a comprehensive plan.
- Provides that a federally recognized tribe may request formal government-to-government consultation with Commerce regarding the tribe's concern that a proposed comprehensive plan or amendment may injure rights reserved to the tribes and requires Commerce to take certain actions in response.

Amendment makes the following changes to the bill as it passed the House:

- Removes provisions related to tribal consultation and tribal participation in the planning process.
- Extends the deadline for the next comprehensive plan update for King, Kitsap, Pierce, and Snohomish counties, and for the cities within those counties, from June 30, 2024 to December 31, 2024.

1 AN ACT Relating to planning under the growth management act;
2 amending RCW 90.58.080 and 90.58.080; reenacting and amending RCW
3 36.70A.130; providing an effective date; and providing an expiration
4 date.

5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

6 **Sec. 1.** RCW 36.70A.130 and 2020 c 113 s 1 and 2020 c 20 s 1026
7 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:

8 (1)(a) Each comprehensive land use plan and development
9 regulations shall be subject to continuing review and evaluation by
10 the county or city that adopted them. Except as otherwise provided, a
11 county or city shall take legislative action to review and, if
12 needed, revise its comprehensive land use plan and development
13 regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the
14 requirements of this chapter according to the deadlines in
15 subsections (4) and (5) of this section.

16 (b) Except as otherwise provided, a county or city not planning
17 under RCW 36.70A.040 shall take action to review and, if needed,
18 revise its policies and development regulations regarding critical
19 areas and natural resource lands adopted according to this chapter to
20 ensure these policies and regulations comply with the requirements of
21 this chapter according to the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of

1 this section. Legislative action means the adoption of a resolution
2 or ordinance following notice and a public hearing indicating at a
3 minimum, a finding that a review and evaluation has occurred and
4 identifying the revisions made, or that a revision was not needed and
5 the reasons therefor.

6 (c) The review and evaluation required by this subsection shall
7 include, but is not limited to, consideration of critical area
8 ordinances and, if planning under RCW 36.70A.040, an analysis of the
9 population allocated to a city or county from the most recent ten-
10 year population forecast by the office of financial management.

11 (d) Any amendment of or revision to a comprehensive land use plan
12 shall conform to this chapter. Any amendment of or revision to
13 development regulations shall be consistent with and implement the
14 comprehensive plan.

15 (2)(a) Each county and city shall establish and broadly
16 disseminate to the public a public participation program consistent
17 with RCW 36.70A.035 and 36.70A.140 that identifies procedures and
18 schedules whereby updates, proposed amendments, or revisions of the
19 comprehensive plan are considered by the governing body of the county
20 or city no more frequently than once every year. "Updates" means to
21 review and revise, if needed, according to subsection (1) of this
22 section, and the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section
23 or in accordance with the provisions of subsection (6) of this
24 section. Amendments may be considered more frequently than once per
25 year under the following circumstances:

26 (i) The initial adoption of a subarea plan. Subarea plans adopted
27 under this subsection (2)(a)(i) must clarify, supplement, or
28 implement jurisdiction-wide comprehensive plan policies, and may only
29 be adopted if the cumulative impacts of the proposed plan are
30 addressed by appropriate environmental review under chapter 43.21C
31 RCW;

32 (ii) The development of an initial subarea plan for economic
33 development located outside of the one hundred year floodplain in a
34 county that has completed a state-funded pilot project that is based
35 on watershed characterization and local habitat assessment;

36 (iii) The adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program
37 under the procedures set forth in chapter 90.58 RCW;

38 (iv) The amendment of the capital facilities element of a
39 comprehensive plan that occurs concurrently with the adoption or
40 amendment of a county or city budget; or

1 (v) The adoption of comprehensive plan amendments necessary to
2 enact a planned action under RCW 43.21C.440, provided that amendments
3 are considered in accordance with the public participation program
4 established by the county or city under this subsection (2)(a) and
5 all persons who have requested notice of a comprehensive plan update
6 are given notice of the amendments and an opportunity to comment.

7 (b) Except as otherwise provided in (a) of this subsection, all
8 proposals shall be considered by the governing body concurrently so
9 the cumulative effect of the various proposals can be ascertained.
10 However, after appropriate public participation a county or city may
11 adopt amendments or revisions to its comprehensive plan that conform
12 with this chapter whenever an emergency exists or to resolve an
13 appeal of a comprehensive plan filed with the growth management
14 hearings board or with the court.

15 (3)(a) Each county that designates urban growth areas under RCW
16 36.70A.110 shall review, according to the schedules established in
17 subsections (4) and (5) of this section, its designated urban growth
18 area or areas, and the densities permitted within both the
19 incorporated and unincorporated portions of each urban growth area.
20 In conjunction with this review by the county, each city located
21 within an urban growth area shall review the densities permitted
22 within its boundaries, and the extent to which the urban growth
23 occurring within the county has located within each city and the
24 unincorporated portions of the urban growth areas.

25 (b) The county comprehensive plan designating urban growth areas,
26 and the densities permitted in the urban growth areas by the
27 comprehensive plans of the county and each city located within the
28 urban growth areas, shall be revised to accommodate the urban growth
29 projected to occur in the county for the succeeding twenty-year
30 period. The review required by this subsection may be combined with
31 the review and evaluation required by RCW 36.70A.215.

32 (4) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (6) and (8) of
33 this section, counties and cities shall take action to review and, if
34 needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations
35 to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of
36 this chapter as follows:

37 (a) On or before June 30, 2015, for King, Pierce, and Snohomish
38 counties and the cities within those counties;

1 (b) On or before June 30, 2016, for Clallam, Clark, Island,
2 Jefferson, Kitsap, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, Thurston, and Whatcom
3 counties and the cities within those counties;

4 (c) On or before June 30, 2017, for Benton, Chelan, Cowlitz,
5 Douglas, Kittitas, Lewis, Skamania, Spokane, and Yakima counties and
6 the cities within those counties; and

7 (d) On or before June 30, 2018, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia,
8 Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grant, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln,
9 Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and
10 Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.

11 (5) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (6) and (8) of
12 this section, following the review of comprehensive plans and
13 development regulations required by subsection (4) of this section,
14 counties and cities shall take action to review and, if needed,
15 revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations to
16 ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this
17 chapter as follows:

18 (a) On or before (~~June 30~~) December 31, 2024, with the
19 following review and, if needed, revision on or before June 30, 2034,
20 and then every (~~eight~~) ten years thereafter, for King, Kitsap,
21 Pierce, and Snohomish counties and the cities within those counties;

22 (b) On or before June 30, 2025, and every (~~eight~~) ten years
23 thereafter, for Clallam, Clark, Island, Jefferson, Lewis, Mason, San
24 Juan, Skagit, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within
25 those counties;

26 (c) On or before June 30, 2026, and every (~~eight~~) ten years
27 thereafter, for Benton, Chelan, Cowlitz, Douglas, Franklin, Kittitas,
28 Skamania, Spokane, Walla Walla, and Yakima counties and the cities
29 within those counties; and

30 (d) On or before June 30, 2027, and every (~~eight~~) ten years
31 thereafter, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Garfield, Grant,
32 Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille,
33 Stevens, Wahkiakum, and Whitman counties and the cities within those
34 counties.

35 (6)(a) Nothing in this section precludes a county or city from
36 conducting the review and evaluation required by this section before
37 the deadlines established in subsections (4) and (5) of this section.
38 Counties and cities may begin this process early and may be eligible
39 for grants from the department, subject to available funding, if they
40 elect to do so.

1 (b) A county that is subject to a deadline established in
2 subsection (5) (~~((a)(ii) through (iv) [(b) through (d)])~~) of this
3 section and meets the following criteria may comply with the
4 requirements of this section at any time within the twenty-four
5 months following the deadline established in subsection (5) of this
6 section: The county has a population of less than fifty thousand and
7 has had its population increase by no more than seventeen percent in
8 the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (5) of
9 this section as of that date.

10 (c) A city that is subject to a deadline established in
11 subsection (5) (~~((a)(ii) through (iv) [(b) through (d)])~~) of this
12 section and meets the following criteria may comply with the
13 requirements of this section at any time within the twenty-four
14 months following the deadline established in subsection (5) of this
15 section: The city has a population of no more than five thousand and
16 has had its population increase by the greater of either no more than
17 one hundred persons or no more than seventeen percent in the ten
18 years preceding the deadline established in subsection (5) of this
19 section as of that date.

20 (d) State agencies are encouraged to provide technical assistance
21 to the counties and cities in the review of critical area ordinances,
22 comprehensive plans, and development regulations.

23 (7) (a) The requirements imposed on counties and cities under this
24 section shall be considered "requirements of this chapter" under the
25 terms of RCW 36.70A.040(1). Only those counties and cities that meet
26 the following criteria may receive grants, loans, pledges, or
27 financial guarantees under chapter 43.155 or 70A.135 RCW:

28 (i) Complying with the deadlines in this section; or

29 (ii) Demonstrating substantial progress towards compliance with
30 the schedules in this section for development regulations that
31 protect critical areas.

32 (b) A county or city that is fewer than twelve months out of
33 compliance with the schedules in this section for development
34 regulations that protect critical areas is making substantial
35 progress towards compliance. Only those counties and cities in
36 compliance with the schedules in this section may receive preference
37 for grants or loans subject to the provisions of RCW 43.17.250.

38 (8) (a) Except as otherwise provided in (c) of this subsection, if
39 a participating watershed is achieving benchmarks and goals for the
40 protection of critical areas functions and values, the county is not

1 required to update development regulations to protect critical areas
2 as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in that
3 watershed.

4 (b) A county that has made the election under RCW 36.70A.710(1)
5 may only adopt or amend development regulations to protect critical
6 areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in a
7 participating watershed if:

8 (i) A work plan has been approved for that watershed in
9 accordance with RCW 36.70A.725;

10 (ii) The local watershed group for that watershed has requested
11 the county to adopt or amend development regulations as part of a
12 work plan developed under RCW 36.70A.720;

13 (iii) The adoption or amendment of the development regulations is
14 necessary to enable the county to respond to an order of the growth
15 management hearings board or court;

16 (iv) The adoption or amendment of development regulations is
17 necessary to address a threat to human health or safety; or

18 (v) Three or more years have elapsed since the receipt of
19 funding.

20 (c) Beginning ten years from the date of receipt of funding, a
21 county that has made the election under RCW 36.70A.710(1) must review
22 and, if necessary, revise development regulations to protect critical
23 areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in a
24 participating watershed in accordance with the review and revision
25 requirements and timeline in subsection (5) of this section. This
26 subsection (8)(c) does not apply to a participating watershed that
27 has determined under RCW 36.70A.720(2)(c)(ii) that the watershed's
28 goals and benchmarks for protection have been met.

29 (9)(a) Counties subject to planning deadlines established in
30 subsection (5) of this section that are required or that choose to
31 plan under RCW 36.70A.040 and that meet either criteria of (a)(i) or
32 (ii) of this subsection, and cities with a population of more than
33 6,000 as of January 1, 2021, within those counties, must provide to
34 the department an implementation progress report detailing the
35 progress they have achieved in implementing their comprehensive plan
36 five years after the review and revision of their comprehensive plan.
37 Once a county meets the criteria in (a)(i) or (ii) of this
38 subsection, the implementation progress report requirements remain in
39 effect thereafter for that county and the cities therein with
40 populations greater than 6,000 as of January 1, 2021, even if the

1 county later no longer meets either or both criteria. A county is
2 subject to the implementation progress report requirement if it meets
3 either of the following criteria on or after January 1, 2021:

4 (i) The county has a population density of at least 100 people
5 per square mile and a population of at least 200,000; or

6 (ii) The county has a population density of at least 75 people
7 per square mile and an annual growth rate of at least 1.75 percent as
8 determined by the office of financial management.

9 (b) The department shall adopt guidelines for indicators,
10 measures, milestones, and criteria for use by counties and cities in
11 the implementation progress report that must cover:

12 (i) The implementation of previously adopted changes to the
13 housing element and any effect those changes have had on housing
14 affordability and availability within the jurisdiction;

15 (ii) Permit processing timelines; and

16 (iii) Progress toward implementing any actions required to
17 achieve reductions to meet greenhouse gas and vehicle miles traveled
18 requirements as provided for in any element of the comprehensive plan
19 under RCW 36.70A.070.

20 (c) If a city or county required to provide an implementation
21 progress report under this subsection (9) has not implemented any
22 specifically identified regulations, zoning and land use changes, or
23 taken other legislative or administrative action necessary to
24 implement any changes in the most recent periodic update in their
25 comprehensive plan by the due date for the implementation progress
26 report, the city or county must identify the need for such action in
27 the implementation progress report. Cities and counties must adopt a
28 work plan to implement any necessary regulations, zoning and land use
29 changes, or take other legislative or administrative action
30 identified in the implementation progress report and complete all
31 work necessary for implementation within two years of submission of
32 the implementation progress report.

33 **Sec. 2.** RCW 90.58.080 and 2011 c 353 s 13 are each amended to
34 read as follows:

35 (1) Local governments shall develop or amend a master program for
36 regulation of uses of the shorelines of the state consistent with the
37 required elements of the guidelines adopted by the department in
38 accordance with the schedule established by this section.

1 (2) (a) Subject to the provisions of subsections (5) and (6) of
2 this section, each local government subject to this chapter shall
3 develop or amend its master program for the regulation of uses of
4 shorelines within its jurisdiction according to the following
5 schedule:

6 (i) On or before December 1, 2005, for the city of Port Townsend,
7 the city of Bellingham, the city of Everett, Snohomish county, and
8 Whatcom county;

9 (ii) On or before December 1, 2009, for King county and the
10 cities within King county greater in population than ten thousand;

11 (iii) Except as provided by (a) (i) and (ii) of this subsection,
12 on or before December 1, 2011, for Clallam, Clark, Jefferson, King,
13 Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the
14 cities within those counties;

15 (iv) On or before December 1, 2012, for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis,
16 Mason, San Juan, Skagit, and Skamania counties and the cities within
17 those counties;

18 (v) On or before December 1, 2013, for Benton, Chelan, Douglas,
19 Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within
20 those counties; and

21 (vi) On or before December 1, 2014, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia,
22 Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln,
23 Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and
24 Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.

25 (b) Nothing in this subsection (2) shall preclude a local
26 government from developing or amending its master program prior to
27 the dates established by this subsection (2).

28 (3) (a) Following approval by the department of a new or amended
29 master program, local governments required to develop or amend master
30 programs on or before December 1, 2009, as provided by subsection
31 (2) (a) (i) and (ii) of this section, shall be deemed to have complied
32 with the schedule established by subsection (2) (a) (iii) of this
33 section and shall not be required to complete master program
34 amendments until the applicable dates established by subsection
35 (4) (b) of this section. Any jurisdiction listed in subsection
36 (2) (a) (i) of this section that has a new or amended master program
37 approved by the department on or after March 1, 2002, but before July
38 27, 2003, shall not be required to complete master program amendments
39 until the applicable date provided by subsection (4) (b) of this
40 section.

1 (b) Following approval by the department of a new or amended
2 master program, local governments choosing to develop or amend master
3 programs on or before December 1, 2009, shall be deemed to have
4 complied with the schedule established by subsection (2)(a)(iii)
5 through (vi) of this section and shall not be required to complete
6 master program amendments until the applicable dates established by
7 subsection (4)(b) of this section.

8 (4)(a) Following the updates required by subsection (2) of this
9 section, local governments shall conduct a review of their master
10 programs at least once every (~~eight~~) ten years as required by (b)
11 of this subsection. Following the review required by this subsection
12 (4), local governments shall, if necessary, revise their master
13 programs. The purpose of the review is:

14 (i) To assure that the master program complies with applicable
15 law and guidelines in effect at the time of the review; and

16 (ii) To assure consistency of the master program with the local
17 government's comprehensive plan and development regulations adopted
18 under chapter 36.70A RCW, if applicable, and other local
19 requirements.

20 (b) Counties and cities shall take action to review and, if
21 necessary, revise their master programs as required by (a) of this
22 subsection as follows:

23 (i) On or before June 30, 2019, and every (~~eight~~) ten years
24 thereafter, for King, Pierce, and Snohomish counties and the cities
25 within those counties;

26 (ii) On or before June 30, 2020, and every (~~eight~~) ten years
27 thereafter, for Clallam, Clark, Island, Jefferson, Kitsap, Mason, San
28 Juan, Skagit, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within
29 those counties;

30 (iii) On or before June 30, 2021, and every (~~eight~~) ten years
31 thereafter, for Benton, Chelan, Cowlitz, Douglas, (~~Grant,~~)
32 Kittitas, Lewis, Skamania, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the
33 cities within those counties; and

34 (iv) On or before June 30, 2022, and every (~~eight~~) ten years
35 thereafter, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield,
36 Grant, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend
37 Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and
38 the cities within those counties.

39 (5) In meeting the update requirements of subsection (2) of this
40 section, local governments are encouraged to begin the process of

1 developing or amending their master programs early and are eligible
2 for grants from the department as provided by RCW 90.58.250, subject
3 to available funding. Except for those local governments listed in
4 subsection (2)(a)(i) and (ii) of this section, the deadline for
5 completion of the new or amended master programs shall be two years
6 after the date the grant is approved by the department. Subsequent
7 master program review dates shall not be altered by the provisions of
8 this subsection.

9 (6) In meeting the update requirements of subsection (2) of this
10 section, the following shall apply:

11 (a) Grants to local governments for developing and amending
12 master programs pursuant to the schedule established by this section
13 shall be provided at least two years before the adoption dates
14 specified in subsection (2) of this section. To the extent possible,
15 the department shall allocate grants within the amount appropriated
16 for such purposes to provide reasonable and adequate funding to local
17 governments that have indicated their intent to develop or amend
18 master programs during the biennium according to the schedule
19 established by subsection (2) of this section. Any local government
20 that applies for but does not receive funding to comply with the
21 provisions of subsection (2) of this section may delay the
22 development or amendment of its master program until the following
23 biennium.

24 (b) Local governments with delayed compliance dates as provided
25 in (a) of this subsection shall be the first priority for funding in
26 subsequent biennia, and the development or amendment compliance
27 deadline for those local governments shall be two years after the
28 date of grant approval.

29 (c) Failure of the local government to apply in a timely manner
30 for a master program development or amendment grant in accordance
31 with the requirements of the department shall not be considered a
32 delay resulting from the provisions of (a) of this subsection.

33 (7) In meeting the update requirements of subsection (2) of this
34 section, all local governments subject to the requirements of this
35 chapter that have not developed or amended master programs on or
36 after March 1, 2002, shall, no later than December 1, 2014, develop
37 or amend their master programs to comply with guidelines adopted by
38 the department after January 1, 2003.

39 (8) In meeting the update requirements of subsection (2) of this
40 section, local governments may be provided an additional year beyond

1 the deadlines in this section to complete their master program or
2 amendment. The department shall grant the request if it determines
3 that the local government is likely to adopt or amend its master
4 program within the additional year.

5 **Sec. 3.** RCW 90.58.080 and 2020 c 113 s 2 are each amended to
6 read as follows:

7 (1) Local governments shall develop or amend a master program for
8 regulation of uses of the shorelines of the state consistent with the
9 required elements of the guidelines adopted by the department in
10 accordance with the schedule established by this section.

11 (2)(a) Subject to the provisions of subsections (5) and (6) of
12 this section, each local government subject to this chapter shall
13 develop or amend its master program for the regulation of uses of
14 shorelines within its jurisdiction according to the following
15 schedule:

16 (i) On or before December 1, 2005, for the city of Port Townsend,
17 the city of Bellingham, the city of Everett, Snohomish county, and
18 Whatcom county;

19 (ii) On or before December 1, 2009, for King county and the
20 cities within King county greater in population than ten thousand;

21 (iii) Except as provided by (a)(i) and (ii) of this subsection,
22 on or before December 1, 2011, for Clallam, Clark, Jefferson, King,
23 Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the
24 cities within those counties;

25 (iv) On or before December 1, 2012, for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis,
26 Mason, San Juan, Skagit, and Skamania counties and the cities within
27 those counties;

28 (v) On or before December 1, 2013, for Benton, Chelan, Douglas,
29 Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within
30 those counties; and

31 (vi) On or before December 1, 2014, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia,
32 Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln,
33 Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and
34 Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.

35 (b) Nothing in this subsection (2) shall preclude a local
36 government from developing or amending its master program prior to
37 the dates established by this subsection (2).

38 (3)(a) Following approval by the department of a new or amended
39 master program, local governments required to develop or amend master

1 programs on or before December 1, 2009, as provided by subsection
2 (2)(a)(i) and (ii) of this section, shall be deemed to have complied
3 with the schedule established by subsection (2)(a)(iii) of this
4 section and shall not be required to complete master program
5 amendments until the applicable dates established by subsection
6 (4)(b) of this section. Any jurisdiction listed in subsection
7 (2)(a)(i) of this section that has a new or amended master program
8 approved by the department on or after March 1, 2002, but before July
9 27, 2003, shall not be required to complete master program amendments
10 until the applicable date provided by subsection (4)(b) of this
11 section.

12 (b) Following approval by the department of a new or amended
13 master program, local governments choosing to develop or amend master
14 programs on or before December 1, 2009, shall be deemed to have
15 complied with the schedule established by subsection (2)(a)(iii)
16 through (vi) of this section and shall not be required to complete
17 master program amendments until the applicable dates established by
18 subsection (4)(b) of this section.

19 (4)(a) Following the updates required by subsection (2) of this
20 section, local governments shall conduct a review of their master
21 programs at least once every (~~eight~~) ten years as required by (b)
22 of this subsection. Following the review required by this subsection
23 (4), local governments shall, if necessary, revise their master
24 programs. The purpose of the review is:

25 (i) To assure that the master program complies with applicable
26 law and guidelines in effect at the time of the review; and

27 (ii) To assure consistency of the master program with the local
28 government's comprehensive plan and development regulations adopted
29 under chapter 36.70A RCW, if applicable, and other local
30 requirements.

31 (b) Counties and cities shall take action to review and, if
32 necessary, revise their master programs as required by (a) of this
33 subsection as follows:

34 (i) On or before June 30, (~~2028~~) 2029, and every (~~eight~~) ten
35 years thereafter, for King, Kitsap, Pierce, and Snohomish counties
36 and the cities within those counties;

37 (ii) On or before June 30, (~~2029~~) 2030, and every (~~eight~~) ten
38 years thereafter, for Clallam, Clark, Island, Jefferson, Lewis,
39 Mason, San Juan, Skagit, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the
40 cities within those counties;

1 (iii) On or before June 30, (~~(2030)~~) 2031, and every (~~(eight)~~)
2 ten years thereafter, for Benton, Chelan, Cowlitz, Douglas, Franklin,
3 Kittitas, Skamania, Spokane, Walla Walla, and Yakima counties and the
4 cities within those counties; and

5 (iv) On or before June 30, (~~(2031)~~) 2032, and every (~~(eight)~~) ten
6 years thereafter, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Garfield,
7 Grant, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend
8 Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, and Whitman counties and the cities
9 within those counties.

10 (5) In meeting the review requirements of subsection (4) of this
11 section, local governments are encouraged to begin the process of
12 developing or amending their master programs early and are eligible
13 for grants from the department as provided by RCW 90.58.250, subject
14 to available funding. Except for those local governments listed in
15 subsection (2)(a)(i) and (ii) of this section, the deadline for
16 completion of the new or amended master programs shall be two years
17 after the date the grant is approved by the department. Subsequent
18 master program review dates shall not be altered by the provisions of
19 this subsection.

20 (6) In meeting the review requirements of subsection (4) of this
21 section, the following shall apply:

22 (a) Grants to local governments for reviewing master programs
23 pursuant to the schedule established by this section shall be
24 provided at least two years before the adoption dates specified in
25 subsection (4) of this section. To the extent possible, the
26 department shall allocate grants within the amount appropriated for
27 such purposes to provide reasonable and adequate funding to local
28 governments that have indicated their intent to develop or amend
29 master programs during the biennium according to the schedule
30 established by subsection (4) of this section. Any local government
31 that applies for but does not receive funding to comply with the
32 provisions of subsection (4) of this section may delay the
33 development or amendment of its master program until the following
34 biennium.

35 (b) Local governments with delayed compliance dates as provided
36 in (a) of this subsection shall be the first priority for funding in
37 subsequent biennia, and the periodic review compliance deadline for
38 those local governments shall be two years after the date of grant
39 approval.

1 (c) Failure of the local government to apply in a timely manner
2 for a master program development or amendment grant in accordance
3 with the requirements of the department shall not be considered a
4 delay resulting from the provisions of (a) of this subsection.

5 (7) In meeting the update requirements of subsection (2) of this
6 section, all local governments subject to the requirements of this
7 chapter that have not developed or amended master programs on or
8 after March 1, 2002, shall, no later than December 1, 2014, develop
9 or amend their master programs to comply with guidelines adopted by
10 the department after January 1, 2003.

11 (8) In meeting the review requirements of subsection (4) of this
12 section, local governments may be provided an additional year beyond
13 the deadlines in this section to complete their master program or
14 amendment. The department shall grant the request if it determines
15 that the local government is likely to adopt or amend its master
16 program within the additional year.

17 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 4.** Section 2 of this act expires July 1,
18 2025.

19 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 5.** Section 3 of this act takes effect July 1,
20 2025.

--- END ---