# ESHB 2322 Select Appropriations - Toll Division Allocation Analysis



## ESHB 2322, Section 209(9) Proviso Report

Part I: Proposed Allocation Methodology and Toll Eligible Expenditures Validation	
Approach, Assumptions, Proposed Allocation Methodology2	2-6
Appendix A – Overview	i
Appendix B – Washington State Patrol	ii
Appendix C – Programs Allocated Based on Transactionsi	iii-v
Part II: Potential Changes and Impacts to Toll Rates	
Purpose, Assumptions, and Findings	7-8
Appendix A – Annual Costs Impact on Toll System	vi
Appendix B – Cost Allocation Percentage by Facility	Vi
Appendix C – Cost per Transaction by Facility	vii

#### Part I: Proposed Allocation Methodology and Toll Eligible Expenditures Validation

#### Introduction

The 2020 transportation appropriations bill (ESHB 2322) included new toll-funded appropriations for expenses for the Washington State Patrol (WSP), Washington State Transportation Commission (WSTC), and six operating programs within the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT). This report addresses the WSP, WSTC and four operating programs within WSDOT including traffic operations (Program Q); transportation management and support (Program S); transportation planning, data and research (Program T); and charges from other agencies (Program U). Appropriations of toll revenues to these programs were as follows:

# ESHB 2322 CONF REPT, 2019-2021 Fiscal Biennium, General Government Agencies -Operating.

Program Q	\$ 142,000
Program S	\$ 534,000
Program T	\$ 555,000
Program U	\$ 549,000
WSP	\$5,324,000
WSTC	\$ 725,000

ESHB 2322 Section 205 for WSTC, section 207 for the WSP and Sections 216, 217, 218 and 219 for WSDOT programs Q, S, T and U respectively state that the respective appropriations are provided solely for the respective program's "...proportional share of time spent supporting tolling operations for the respective tolling facilities".

The proviso language under Program B, Sec. 209(9) states:

(9) The department shall develop an ongoing cost allocation method to assign appropriate costs to each of the toll funds for services provided by each Washington state department of transportation program and all relevant transportation agencies, including the Washington state patrol and the transportation commission. This method should update the toll cost allocation method used in the 2020 supplemental transportation appropriations act. By December 1, 2020, a report with the recommended method and any changes or potential impacts to toll rates shall be submitted to the transportation committees of the legislature and the office of financial management.

There are two parts to this document that are combined into the proviso response to the legislature.

1. The first part of the report is prepared by the WSDOT Accounting & Financial Services (AFS) and recommends a proposed cost allocation methodology for allocating appropriated and eligible toll expenditures among Washington's tolled facilities. It updates the toll eligible expenditures against these appropriations in the current biennium (2019-21) and provides guidance to the Legislature on allocation of any future eligible tolling expenses for each of these programs.

The second part of the report is prepared by the WSDOT Toll Division will provide the Legislature any changes or potential impacts to toll rates for each individual toll facility.

#### Approach to Allocating Expenses among Toll Facilities

AFS has a long-established process for allocating eligible toll expenses between facilities. Once WSDOT management, in collaboration with the Office of Financial Management (OFM), determines the allocation methodology, it will continue to be utilized and consistently applied. The process includes annual review, approval, and publication.

For these new appropriations, AFS worked with program managers of affected programs to identify the most equitable, reasonable, verifiable, and consistently applied allocation method for each program.

Of the six new appropriations covered in this review, only those for WSP can be directly quantified regarding services provided to the eligible facilities. The other five programs represent common cost items which benefit multiple facilities. For these programs, WSDOT tolling leadership selected transaction count as the allocation basis. This is consistent with WSDOT's current and historically Approved Tolling Allocation Plans.

Future allocations would also need to go through a review and evaluation process to ensure funding is spent per the tolling related RCWs.

#### **Assumptions**

Four operational WSDOT toll facilities are affected by this appropriation. Those facilities are State Route (SR) 16 Tacoma Narrows Bridge, SR 99 Tunnel, SR 520 Bridge, and I-405/SR 167 Corridor.

The data and supporting documentation underlying the expenditures resides with the source agency/program. Accordingly, the source agency/program is responsible for compliance with all applicable guidelines and RCW.

AFS will ensure each of the participating facilities' allocations are processed in accordance with RCW, GAAP, OFM policies and guidelines, and WSDOT accounting policies including:

- Revised Code of Washington (RCW), 47.56.820 Imposition of tolls on eligible toll facilities-Who may authorize, revenue expenditures. 47.56.820
- OFM State Administrative & Accounting Manual, 50.20 Cost Allocation and Indirect Cost Recoveries https://www.ofm.wa.gov/sites/default/files/public/legacy/policy/50.20.htm

 OFM, State Administrative & Accounting Manual, 85.90 Interfund/Interagency Activities

https://www.ofm.wa.gov/sites/default/files/public/legacy/policy/85.90.htm

Initial Allocations in (thousands):

Senate Transportation Committee (STC) allocations were based on the estimated weighted average share of transactions between facilities, shown below:

Percentage Toll Trips by Facility (STC)	FY 2020	Estimated FY 2021	Average
B-2 SR 16 Tacoma Narrows Bridge	22.24%	21.32%	21.78%
B-3 SR 167 HOT Lane	2.02%	1.98%	2.00%
B-4 I-405 Express Toll Lane	20.48%	19.92%	20.20%
B-5 SR 520 Bridge	37.89%	36.74%	37.32%
SR 99 (Assumed, prior to tolling)	17.37%	20.04%	18.71%
B-3 SR 167 plus B-4 I-405 Toll Trip 9	%'s combined	1	22.20%

Note: Amounts contain rounded values which may not balance to column/row totals.

Applying the estimated weighted average share of transactions to each program produced the following appropriated amounts per program and facility:

Allocation Splits By Program	% Trips	Q	S	Т		U		WSP	WSTC	Totals	
B-2 SR 16 Tacoma Narrows Bridge	21.78%	\$ 31	\$ 116	\$	121	\$	120	\$ 1,158	\$ 158	\$ 1,704	
B-3 SR 167 + B-4 I-405 combined	22.20%	\$ 32	\$ 119	\$	123	\$	122	\$ 1,182	\$ 161	\$ 1,738	
B-5 SR 520 Bridge	37.32%	\$ 53	\$ 199	\$	207	\$	205	\$ 1,988	\$ 271	\$ 2,923	
SR 99	18.71%	\$ 27	\$ 100	\$	104	\$	103	\$ 996	\$ 136	\$ 1,465	
Total	100.00%	\$ 142	\$ 534	\$	555	\$	549	\$ 5,324	\$ 725	\$ 7,829	

Source: 'Backup Calculations for STC Budget' e-mail, 2/25/2020, "Toll Fund Shift" tab in the "Backup for DOT – STC Chair Budget.xlsx" file. For Program U, a proportion of tolled lane miles was used (approximately 117 tolled lane miles / 18,699 total lane miles = 0.626%) to calculate the portion of that program's budget to be shifted.

#### **Proposed Allocation Methodology**

This section describes the cost allocation formula proposed by WSDOT. AFS staff consulted with appropriate program managers for each program to identify eligible toll expenditures and the most appropriate nexus for allocation between toll facilities.

#### Data Sources:

- Transportation Reporting and Accounting Information System (TRAINS) WSDOT's accounting system.
- **Agency Financial Reporting System** (AFRS) Statewide accounting system maintained by OFM.
- RITE/FASTLANE/EPICOR WSDOT's third party vendors, ETCC and ETAN Industries, transaction processing and accounting systems.

- **COGNOS** WSDOT's Data Warehouse that contains financial transactions data, as well as budget and spending plan details.
- Enterprise Reporting OFM's data warehouse that contains financial data from AFRS.

#### Cost items and eligible facilities:

In the table below, an "x" indicates that no toll-eligible expenditures were identified.

<u>ltem:</u>	<u>SR16</u>	<u>SR99</u>	<u>SR520</u>	<u>I-405/SR167</u>
WSP Costs	✓	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
WSTC Costs	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Program T Costs	×	×	×	×
Program Q, S and U Costs	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	✓

#### Cost allocation basis:

Of the six programs with new toll-funded appropriations, only those for WSP can be quantified regarding services provided to the eligible facilities. The remainder are common cost items which benefit multiple facilities.

Tolling Expense:	Allocation Basis:
WSP non-Toll-Enforcement Costs	Time spent by facility
WSTC and Program Q, S, T and U Costs	Transaction Count of all toll transactions by facility

#### AFS Estimated Allocations, dollar amounts in (thousands):

#### Summary

The values used in the 2020 supplemental budget differ from the AFS estimates for two reasons. First, the percentages differ due to AFS' use of more current and complete fiscal year 2020 transaction volumes. Second, the dollar amounts differ due to AFS' validation of how toll dollars can be spent (per RCW 47.56.820) on other programs and agencies.

#### **Estimated Percentages**

Using the allocation method outlined above, AFS allocation percentages are:

Percentage Toll Trips by Facility (WSDOT AFS)	Actuals as of 7/1/20
B-2 SR 16 Tacoma Narrows Bridge	28.15%
B-3 SR 167 HOT Lane	2.96%
B-4 I-405 Express Toll Lane	11.47%
B-5 SR 520 Bridge	34.69%
SR 99	22.73%

The initial allocation percentages differ from the AFS estimated ones as follows:

Facility	STC % Allocation	WSDOT AFS % Allocation	% Difference
B-2 SR 16 Tacoma Narrows Bridge Trips	21.78%	28.15%	6.37%
B-3 SR 167 HOT Lane Trips	2.00%	2.96%	0.96%
B-4 I-405 Express Toll Lane Trips	20.20%	11.47%	-8.73%
B-5 SR 520 Bridge Trips	37.32%	34.69%	-2.63%
SR 99 Trips	18.71%	22.73%	4.03%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%

#### **Estimated Dollars**

The analysis found that Program T and portions of Program U and WSP appropriations are not toll-eligible expenses.

All of Program T (\$555K), part of Program U (\$487K), and part of the WSP (\$3.46M) appropriations are greater than estimated allocations.

Appropriation Amounts		\$	142	\$	534	\$	555	\$	549	\$ :	5,324	\$	725	\$	7,829
<b>Estimated Allocations by Facility</b>	% Trips		Q		S		Т		U	W	SP*	W	STC	T	otals
B-2 SR 16 Tacoma Narrows Bridge	28.15%	\$	40	\$	150	\$	-	\$	17	\$	77	\$	204	\$	489
B-3 SR 167 + B-4 I-405 combined	14.43%	\$	20	\$	77	\$	-	\$	9	\$	1,349	\$	105	\$	1,560
B-5 SR 520 Bridge	34.69%	\$	49	\$	185	\$	-	\$	22	\$	433	\$	252	\$	940
SR 99	22.73%	\$	32	\$	121	\$	-	\$	14	\$	-	\$	165	\$	333
Totals	100.00%	\$	142	\$	534	\$	-	\$	62	\$ '	1,858	\$	725	\$	3,321
Toll Ineligible		\$	-	\$	-	\$	555	\$	487	\$ :	3,466	\$	-	\$	4,508
* WSP allocations based upon actual hours spent on facility.															

Using the agency's recommendation, estimated allocated dollars by facility become:

Facility	STC \$	Allocation	SDOT AFS \$ Allocation	\$ Difference
B-2 SR 16 Tacoma Narrows Bridge	\$	1,704	\$ 489	\$ (1,215)
B-3 SR 167 + B-4 I-405 combined	\$	1,738	\$ 1,560	\$ (178)
B-5 SR 520 Bridge	\$	2,923	\$ 940	\$ (1,983)
SR 99	\$	1,465	\$ 333	\$ (1,132)
Totals	\$	7,829	\$ 3,321	\$ (4,508)

## Appendix A – Overview

Selected ESHB 2322, 2020 Supplementa	I Budget Proviso Ele	ments*				
As of 11/17/20						
Item:	Q	S	Т	U	WSP	WSTC
Program Activity:	Traffic Operations	Transportation Mgmt. & Support	Transportation Planning Data & Research	Charges from/Payments To Other Agencies	General Policing and Enforcement	Policy/Oversight
Expenditure type	Indirect	Indirect	Indirect	Indirect	Direct + Overhead	Indirect
Expenditure basis	%FTE	%FTE	%FTE	Various	GPS and time data	%FTE
Cost Allocation basis	Toll Trips	Toll Trips	Toll Trips	Toll Trips	Time on facility	Toll Trips
Appropriation Amount	\$142,000	\$534,000	\$555,000	\$549,000	\$5,324,000	\$725,000
Less Toll Ineligible Expenditures	\$0	\$0	(\$555,000)	(\$487,000)	(\$3,465,850)	\$0
Estimated New Tolling Expenditures	\$142,000	\$534,000	\$0	\$62,000	\$1,858,150	\$725,000
SAAM Reference	85.90.60.a	85.90.60.a	85.90.60.a	85.90.60.a	85.90.30	85.90.30
Notes						
- No Program T staff assigned to tolling						
- Program U includes transfers to WA Te						
- WSP has no jurisdiction on the SR 99 to						
- WSP has ongoing contracts for toll enfo	rcement on I-405 and	I SR 167				

## **Appendix B – Washington State Patrol**

ANNL	ALIZED EST	MATE OF CO	STS TO TH	E WSP OF PAT	ROLLING TO	OLL ROADS: 01	6, 1	67, 405 and	520		
			Duration								
	Start	End	(days)								
GPS Data			( , . /								
showing WSP											
presence on toll	5/9/2020	8/11/2020									
roads	0:00	23:59	95								
TollID	Total Hours	Billed to WSDOT (subtracted)	Remaining Hours *	Annualized**	Hourly Cost***	Total Annualized Cost		FINAL	WSP annual patrol cost		
016 (Pierce)	92	0	(	92 353	\$ 108.64	\$ 38,349.92		016	\$ 38,349.92		
167 (King)	540	0	54	10 2075	\$ 114.71	\$ 238,023.25		167	\$ 238,023.25		
405 (King)	856	0	85	3289	\$ 114.71	\$ 377,281.19		405	\$ 436,358.97		
405 (Snohomish)	140	0	14	10 538	\$ 109.81	\$ 59,077.78		520	\$216,343.06		
520 (King)	491	0	49	1886	\$ 114.71	\$ 216,343.06					
Total	2119	0	211	19 8141		\$ 929,075.20					
* No hours billed to	WSP. WSD	OT discontinue	ed toll lane e	nforcement sinc	e March 202	0 during the CO	VID1	9 pandemic			
** Since WSP is 2	4/7 service, a	nnualization ca	lculation is	simply 365 days	in year / 95 o	day-span of data	x Re	maining Ho	urs		
*** See table below	N										
TollID	King Co	Pierce Co	Snohomis Co	h							
Salaries/Benefits	\$ 72.67	\$ 67.99	\$ 68.8			ear sergeant with aphic pay; include					
Direct Costs****	\$ 4.31	\$ 4.30	\$ 4.3	1 rules-of-thum	b for supplie	s, training, softwa	are li	censing, tra	vel, etc.		
Fuel and Vehicle Maintenance Costs	\$ 5.17	\$ 5.17	\$ 5.1	7 rules-of-thum	b						
Equipment Replacement Costs****	\$ 8.48	\$ 8.47	\$ 8.4	rules-of-thumb for vehicles, radios, mobile-office equipment (replacement cost divided by life)							
Indirect Costs	\$ 24.08	\$ 22.71	\$ 22.9	7 federally-app	roved indire	ct cost rate appli	ed a	gainst adjus	ted direct costs		
Total	\$ 114.71	\$ 108.64	\$ 109.8	1							
***Pierce County of	lifferences fro	m King and Sn	ohomish Co	ounty in direct co	sts and equi	pment replaceme	ent c	osts			
are simply round	ling errors										

Source: 'WSP Time Spent Patrolling Toll Roads' e-mail, 9/2/2020, "Sheet1" tab, in the "Toll Roads – WSP patrol costs – 2020-08-16.xlsx" file.

#### Appendix C – Programs Allocated Based on Transactions

#### Washington State Transportation Commission

Program	Position Number	Class	Job Class	Range	Ston	FY 20 Salary & Benefits	% Time on Tolling Activities	Estimated Salary &	Salary &	% Time on Tolling Activities	Estimated Salary &
riogram	71025040		DEPUTY DIR. / SR FINANCIAL ANALYST, TRANSP. COMM		эсер	137,944	80%		157,650	70%	
	71073963		JUNIOR FINANCIAL ANALYST, TRANSP. COMMISSION	EMS II		-	0%		118,385	35%	
	70064385		EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, TRANSP. COMMISSION	EMS IV		177,770	40%		183,103	30%	
L	70132942		SENIOR POLICY ANALYST, TRANSP. COMMISSION	EMS III		155,346	40%	_	13,334	10%	
L	70132942		SENIOR POLICY ANALYST, TRANSP. COMMISSION	EMS III		23,841	30%		143,044	30%	
L	70064391		CONF. SECRETARY			100,828	40%		103,853	30%	
L	70064415	1051	ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT 5	50	L	82,761	25%	_	85,244	15%	
L	70064392		COMISSIONER			17,638	35%		17,638	40%	
L	70064388	EX030	COMISSIONER			17,638	35%	6,173	17,638	40%	7,055
L	70064389	EX030	COMISSIONER			17,638	35%	6,173	17,638	40%	7,055
L	70064387	EX030	COMISSIONER			17,638	35%	6,173	17,638	40%	7,055
L	70064390	EX030	COMISSIONER			17,638	35%	6,173	17,638	40%	7,055
L	70064393	EX030	COMISSIONER			17,638	35%	6,173	17,638	40%	7,055
L	70064386	EX030	COMISSIONER			17,638	35%	6,173	17,638	40%	7,055
			Total Salaries & Benefits				FY20	354,988		FY 21	344,296
			Travel - Object G								
			Tolling Public Meetings								23,400
			Tolling Hearings								25,500
			Total Travel								48,900
			Total Tolling Support by fiscal year					354,988			393,196
			Total Tolling Support 2019-21 Bienium								748,185
			Total Tolling Support Funds Provided in 2019-21								725,000
				MVF							(23,185

Source: 'Toll Allocation Proviso Report – WSTC Allocation Updates' e-mail, 11/3/2020.

#### Program Q

The Q program supports SR 520, SR 99 Tunnel, I-405/SR 167, and SR 16 with Northwest Region or Olympic Region Traffic Office services which includes Incident Response, Traffic Management Center Operations, and Traffic Engineering. These services respond to incidents, manage daily and incident traffic, and analyze and make improvements in safety and efficiency of the highways.

The level of effort is approximately 1% to 2% of the program.

#### Program T

No program T staff are currently assigned to activities eligible for toll funding, nor can they hire staff due to the hiring freeze. The Transportation Planning Program moved the \$555,000 in the 2020 supplemental budget from toll facility accounts into reserve status.

#### **Program S**

Program S in	Support	of Tolling																	
Program	Positio n Numbe	Class Code	Team	Job Class	Range	Sten	FY 20 Salary & Benefits	% Time on Tolling Activities	Estimated Salary & Benefits										
S	00157	1051		AA5	50	М	90.715											FY20	
S	03762			Sec Senior	35	1	62,251	5%								FY20 Payroll	Sal/Ron		on Tollin
3	03702	1001	Admin	Sec Serioi	- 33		02,231	376	3,113		Tolling F	mployee	s 57.0	0.8%		\$ 1.860.000	Javben	\$ 14.760	OII IOIIIII
number of Tol	lling emplo	yees as	a percent	es. For cost allocation tage of total WSDOT ed FTEs in Payroll (2	employe	es and a	pply that to th	e Payroll S	alary and		WSDOT	FTEs	7183.1						
2) CFO	Assume	6.5 hours	ner mon	th spent in tolling me	etinas - P	Rased on	time snent in	tolling mee	tings in April	and May 20	120								
S		B4380	por mon	CHIEF FINANCIAL					\$ 7,675	l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l									
3) BFA																			
Budget										_									
S		WMS02		WMS BAND 2			\$ 131,621		\$ 52,648										
S	0W475	WMS04		WMS BAND 4			\$ 183,040	3%	\$ 5,575	Time spent	in Tolling	meeting	s and mee	etings affe	ecting tollin	ng (Based on e	estimated	time from L	ecMay
Economics	Time spe	ent on toll	ing during	the quarterly foreca	sts														
	0W086	WMS03		WMS BAND 3			167696	8%	\$ 13,416										
Financial Pla	nning	Time sp	ont on tall	lina															
S		WMS03		WMS BAND 3			\$ 169,052	25%	\$ 59,168										
S	03415			TPS5	69	1	\$ 133,697		\$ 40,109										
S	00638			TPS4	65		\$ 122,462		\$ 36,739										
0	00000	54511		11 04	- 00		ψ 122,402	3070	Ψ 30,733										
4) Diek Mamt	200/ of	time of \A	MC posit	ion spent on Tolling I	roviour (D	DB work													
S INISK WIGHT		WMS01	IVIO POSIL	WMS BAND 1	leview (F	DIX WOIK)	\$ 108,562	20%	\$ 21,712										
Total Broars	m C Fun	do Drovis	ding Cum	port to Tolling				FY20 259,450	FY21 264,413	2019-21 523.863									
Total Frogra	iii 3 Fuii	us FIOVR	aniy Sup	port to rolling				259,450	4,963	523,003									
Tolling Funds	provided	to progra	m S in 20	020 Supplemental															
\$ 119,000	1405/SR	167																	
\$ 199,000																			
\$ 116,000																			
\$ 100,000										Est amt is	ower than	amt prov	vided by						
		funda for	2019-21							(10,137)		. a.i.a pio							

## Program U

Archives and Records Management Management Records Management Records Management Records Management Manage	Cost Center	Description of Service	Billing Methodology	Estimated Cost to Agency per BN	Proration (Toll FTEs)	Sub total
Financial Replacement (ERP Financing)	Records	Records management	based on agency FTE amounts; 35 percent is based on the number of boxes each		0.77%	\$4,243
OFM-Enterprise Application	Financial Replacement (ERP	core financial systems replacement planning through the One Washington	Allocated based on budgeted FTEs	\$1.377.000	0.77%	\$10,603
OFM-  Enterprise   Application   Funding to cover a portion of statewide   Application   Funding to cover a portion of statewide   Allocated based on budgeted FTEs   \$730,000   0.77%   \$5,600	OFM Enterprise		Allocated based on budgeted FTEs			\$5,621
Services   Transp Building-Capital Project Surcharge   WA Tech-COffice of Chief Information Officer   Statewide information technology policies and oversight provided by the state Chief Information Officer   The OCS Allocation was established to ensure consistent funding for OCIO is based on actual agency IT FTEs.   \$523,000   0.77%   \$4,01	Enterprise	Funding to cover a portion of statewide systems owned by the Office of Financial Management per the Central	Allocated based on budgeted FTEs	\$730,000	0.77%	\$5,621
Transp Building- Capital Project Surcharge WA Tech- (Office of) Chief Information Officer  WA Tech- Cyber Security - Began 2017- 19  Wa Tech- Security Gateway Services  State Data Network to include equipment, software, and staffling.  Wa Tech- State Data Network to include equipment, software, and staffling.  Allocation based on FTEs in Thurston County  \$1,046,000  0.77% \$8,01  Funding for OCIO is based on actual agency IT FTEs.  \$523,000  0.77% \$4,01  Agencies with 50+ FTEs pay a yearly base fee of \$2000. The remaining cost of the office is allocated based on the agency's number of budgeted FTE's.  Allocation funding is based on the agency's number of budgeted FTEs and the number of applications, ach agency has using the gateway. OFM maintains the source data for budgeted FTEs and WaTech tracks the number of applications, ach agency has using the gateway. OFM maintains the source data for budgeted FTEs and WaTech tracks the number of applications, ach agency has using the gateway. OFM maintains the source data for budgeted FTEs and WaTech tracks the number of applications, additionally, agencies with 50+ FTEs pay a yearly base fee of \$1500.  Annual costs to support the Network core, which was allocated based on FTEs.  Annual costs to provide data transport support, which was allocated based on connectivity. From there, to use the central service model, OFM has to convert the calculations above into an allocation. So OFM took each agency's total charge (network core + connectivity = total) and			Allocated based on budgeted FTEs	\$543,000	0.77%	\$4,181
Coffice of Chief Information Officer	Building Capital Project					\$8,054
WA Tech Cyber Security - Began 2017- 19  Wa Tech Security Gateway Services  Wa Tech Services  Wa Tech Security Gateway Services  State Data Network  Wa TechState (Data) Network  Sallocated based on the agency's number of budgeted FTEs and the number of applications each agency has using the galeway. OFM maintains the source data for budgeted FTEs and the number of applications each agency has using the sallocated base	(Office of) Chief Information	policies and oversight provided by the		\$523,000	0.77%	\$4,027
Wa Tech-Security Gateway Allocation funds a central point of authentication for all public-facing services provided by state agencies, enforcing security standards to ensure the protection of WA state citizen's private information when accessing the State of WA services.  Wa TechState (Data) Network  State Data Network to include equipment, software, and staffing.  Wa TechState (Data) Network  State Data Network to include equipment, software, and staffing.  Wa TechState (Data) Network  State Data Network to include equipment, software, and staffing.  State Data Network to include equipment, software, and staffing.	Cyber Security - Began 2017-	ensure consistent funding for cybersecurity policy and technology leadership for state government, as well as to promote cooperation and coordination between regional and	fee of \$2000. The remaining cost of the office is allocated based on the agency's	\$11,000	0.77%	\$85
Wa TechState (Data) Network  State Data Network to include equipment, software, and staffing.  State Data Network to include equipment, software, and staffing.  Which was allocated based on FTEs. Annual costs to provide data transport support, which was allocated based on connectivity. From there, to use the central service model, OFM has to convert the calculations above into an allocation. So OFM took each agency's total charge (network core + connectivity = total) and	Security Gateway	a central point of authentication for all public-facing services provided by state agencies, enforcing security standards to ensure the protection of WA state citizen's private information when	number of budgeted FTEs and the number of applications each agency has using the gateway. OFM maintains the source data for budgeted FTEs and WaTech tracks the number of applications. Additionally, agencies with 50+ FTEs pay a yearly base	\$600,000	0.77%	\$4,620
			which was allocated based on FTEs. Annual costs to provide data transport support, which was allocated based on connectivity. From there, to use the central service model, OFM has to convert the calculations above into an allocation. So OFM took each agency's total charge (network core + connectivity = total) and divided it by the total expenditure authority for the network.	\$1,880,000	0.77%	\$14,476

ESHB 2322, Section 209(9) Proviso Report

#### Part II: Potential Impacts to Toll Rates

**Purpose:** This is the second part of the proviso report to address "any changes or potential impacts to toll rates" for each individual toll facility.

#### Key assumptions:

- All recommended 2019-21 biennium eligible toll expenditures (from Part I) will be spent in FY 2021, considering no expenditures occurred in FY 2020
- Assume 2.5% annual cost escalation starting FY 2021
- For Washington State Patrol costs, assume the same cost allocation percentage in FY 2021 will apply all future years
- For all other costs, the cost allocation is based on adopted toll transaction forecast in November 2020 Transportation Revenue Forecast Council (TRFC)

#### Findings:

Please see the table below for the summary of the findings. More details are available in Appendix II.

Year		TNB		SR 520		SR 99	I-405 8	& SR 167 ETLs	То	ll System
	Annual	Cost per	Annual Costs	Cost per	Annual Costs	Cost per	Annual	Cost per	<b>Annual Costs</b>	Cost per
	Costs	Transaction (cents)		Transaction (cents)		Transaction (cents)	Costs	Transaction (cents)		Transaction (cents)
FY 2021 <sup>1</sup>	\$ 540,000	3.8	\$ 905,000	6.2	\$ 297,000	3.2	\$ 1,580,000	22.0	\$ 3,322,000	7.3
FY 2022 <sup>2</sup>	225,000	1.5	491,000	2.2	170,000	1.2	817,000	8.0	1,703,000	2.8
FY 2023	217,000	1.4	514,000	2.0	176,000	1.1	838,000	7.2	1,745,000	2.5
FY 2024	216,000	1.3	543,000	1.9	173,000	1.1	858,000	7.0	1,790,000	2.5
FY 2025	214,000	1.3	544,000	1.9	170,000	1.1	908,000	5.8	1,836,000	2.4
FY 2026	211,000	1.3	548,000	1.8	166,000	1.0	956,000	5.1	1,881,000	2.3
FY 2027	215,000	1.3	564,000	1.8	169,000	1.0	980,000	5.1	1,928,000	2.3
FY 2028	220,000	1.3	580,000	1.9	172,000	1.0	1,005,000	5.1	1,977,000	2.3
FY 2029	\$ 224,000	1.3	\$ 597,000	1.9	\$ 175,000	1.0	\$ 1,033,000	5.1	\$ 2,029,000	2.4
Total	\$ 2,282,000	·	\$ 5,286,000		\$ 1,668,000		\$ 8,975,000	·	\$18,211,000	
% of Total	13%		29%		9%	ı	49%		100%	

#### Notes:

<sup>1.</sup> FY 2021 costs include AFS recommended toll eligible expenditures for both FY 2020 and FY 2021, considering no expenditures were allocated in FY 2020.

<sup>2.</sup> Assume 2.5% annual cost escalation starting FY 2022.

- FY 2021 is the year when the toll system receives the biggest rate impact from the new added costs: on average every toll trip pays **7.3 cents** per transaction for the new costs. The impact is exacerbated in FY2021 due to two factors: a) the whole biennium appropriations are expected to be spent in one year; b) traffic on toll facilities is significantly lower than previous years due to COVID-19.
- I-405 and SR 167 ETLs pay for the highest share of the new added costs: 49%. This is due to the fact State Patrol costs represent 56% of the total new expenditures, and ETLs (with the longest lane miles compared to other toll facilities) pay for 73% of the patrol costs. In FY 2021, the additional rate impact to ETLs is 22 cents per transaction.
- From FY 2022 to FY 2029, on average the cost impact to the toll system is about **two cents** per toll transaction. For SR 99 Tunnel , TNB, and SR 520, the impact ranges between 1 to 2 cents per transaction; for I-405 and SR 167 ETLs, the impact ranges from **5 to 8** cents per transaction.

Appendix II: A - Annual Costs Impact on Toll System

			WSDOT	Prog	rams			 mmission (WSTC)	St	tate Patrol (WSP)	Total
	Q		S		U		Sub-total	` ,		` ,	
FY 2021 <sup>1</sup>	\$ 142,000	\$	534,000	\$	62,000	\$	738,000	\$ 725,000	\$	1,858,000	\$ 3,321,000
FY 2022 <sup>2</sup>	73,000		274,000		32,000		379,000	372,000		952,000	1,703,000
FY 2023	75,000		281,000		33,000		389,000	381,000		976,000	1,746,000
FY 2024	77,000		288,000		34,000		399,000	391,000		1,000,000	1,790,000
FY 2025	79,000		295,000		35,000		409,000	401,000		1,025,000	1,835,000
FY 2026	81,000		302,000		36,000		419,000	411,000		1,051,000	1,881,000
FY 2027	83,000		310,000		37,000		430,000	421,000		1,077,000	1,928,000
FY 2028	85,000		318,000		38,000		441,000	432,000		1,104,000	1,977,000
FY 2029	87,000		326,000		39,000		452,000	443,000		1,132,000	2,027,000
Total						\$	4,056,000	\$ 3,977,000	\$	10,175,000	\$ 18,208,000
% of Total							22%	22%		56%	100%

#### Notes:

## Appendix II: B - Cost Allocation Percentage by Facility

		(Nov 2020	TRFC) Forecast	ed Toll Traffic		Toll System Cost/Transaction (cents)
	TNB					
				ETLs		
FY 2021	14,390,000	14,688,000	9,228,000	7,187,000	45,493,000	7.3
FY 2022	15,092,000	21,849,000	13,812,000	10,273,000	61,026,000	2.8
FY 2023	15,776,000	25,681,000	15,763,000	11,593,000	68,813,000	2.5
FY 2024	16,142,000	28,581,000	15,958,000	12,211,000	72,892,000	2.5
FY 2025	16,345,000	29,037,000	16,157,000	15,581,000	77,120,000	2.4
FY 2026	16,508,000	29,669,000	16,282,000	18,924,000	81,383,000	2.3
FY 2027	16,673,000	30,547,000	16,492,000	19,353,000	83,065,000	2.3
FY 2028	16,839,000	31,334,000	16,704,000	19,807,000	84,684,000	2.3
FY 2029	17,008,000	31,998,000	16,850,000	20,255,000	86,111,000	2.4

		WSDOT P	rograms & W	/STC Costs		WSP Costs							
	TNB	SR 520	SR 99	I-405 & SR 167	Sub-total	TNB	SR 520	I-405 & SR	Sub-total				
				ETLs				167					
							ETLs						
FY 2021	31.6%	32.3%	20.3%	15.8%	100%	4.1%	23.3%	72.6%	100%				
FY 2022	24.7%	35.8%	22.6%	16.8%	100%	4.1%	23.3%	72.6%	100%				
FY 2023	22.9%	37.3%	22.9%	16.8%	100%	4.1%	23.3%	72.6%	100%				
FY 2024	22.1%	39.2%	21.9%	16.8%	100%	4.1%	23.3%	72.6%	100%				
FY 2025	21.2%	37.7%	21.0%	20.2%	100%	4.1%	23.3%	72.6%	100%				
FY 2026	20.3%	36.5%	20.0%	23.3%	100%	4.1%	23.3%	72.6%	100%				
FY 2027	20.1%	36.8%	19.9%	23.3%	100%	4.1%	23.3%	72.6%	100%				
FY 2028	19.9%	37.0%	19.7%	23.4%	100%	4.1%	23.3%	72.6%	100%				
FY 2029	19.8%	37.2%	19.6%	23.5%	100%	4.1%	23.3%	72.6%	100%				

<sup>1.</sup> FY 2021 costs include AFS recommended toll eligible expenditures for both FY 2020 and FY 2021, considering no expenditures were allocated in FY 2020.

<sup>2.</sup> Assume 2.5% annual cost escalation starting FY 2022.

## Appendix II: C – Cost per Transaction by Facility

				Т	NB						SR 520					SR	99		I-405 & S	R 167 ETLs		Toll Sy	/stem
	٧	VSDOT &	W.	SP Costs	S	Sub-total	Cost per	WSI	OOT & WSTC	٧	WSP Costs	S	ub-total	Cost per	١	WSDOT &	Cost per	WSDOT &	WSP Costs	Sub-total	Cost per	Annual Costs	Cost per
	W	STC Costs					Transaction		Costs					Transaction	W	/STC Costs	Transaction	WSTC Costs			Transaction		Transaction
							(cents)							(cents)			(cents)				(cents)		(cents)
FY 2021 <sup>1</sup>	\$	463,000	\$	77,000	\$	540,000	3.8	\$	472,000	\$	433,000	\$	905,000	6.2	\$	297,000	3.2	\$ 231,000	\$ 1,349,000	\$ 1,580,0	00 22.0	\$ 3,322,000	7.3
FY 2022 <sup>2</sup>		186,000		39,000		225,000	1.5		269,000		222,000		491,000	2.2		170,000	1.2	126,000	691,000	817,0	0.8 0.0	1,703,000	2.8
FY 2023		177,000		40,000		217,000	1.4		287,000		227,000		514,000	2.0		176,000	1.1	130,000	708,000	838,0	00 7.2	1,745,000	2.5
FY 2024		175,000		41,000		216,000	1.3		310,000		233,000		543,000	1.9		173,000	1.1	132,000	726,000	858,0	7.0	1,790,000	2.5
FY 2025		172,000		42,000		214,000	1.3		305,000		239,000		544,000	1.9		170,000	1.1	164,000	744,000	908,0	00 5.8	1,836,000	2.4
FY 2026		168,000		43,000		211,000	1.3		303,000		245,000		548,000	1.8		166,000	1.0	193,000	763,000	956,0	00 5.1	1,881,000	2.3
FY 2027		171,000		44,000		215,000	1.3		313,000		251,000		564,000	1.8		169,000	1.0	198,000	782,000	980,0	00 5.1	1,928,000	2.3
FY 2028		174,000		46,000		220,000	1.3		323,000		257,000		580,000	1.9		172,000	1.0	204,000	801,000	1,005,0	00 5.1	1,977,000	2.3
FY 2029	\$	177,000	\$	47,000	\$	224,000	1.3	\$	333,000	\$	264,000	\$	597,000	1.9	\$	175,000	1.0	\$ 211,000	\$ 822,000	\$ 1,033,0	00 5.1	\$ 2,029,000	2.4
Total	\$	1,863,000	\$	419,000	\$	2,282,000		\$	2,915,000	\$	2,371,000	\$	5,286,000		\$	1,668,000		\$ 1,589,000	\$ 7,386,000	\$ 8,975,0	00	\$ 18,211,000	
% of Total						13%							29%			9%				4	9%	100%	

#### Notes

<sup>1.</sup> FY 2021 costs include AFS recommended toll eligible expenditures for both FY 2020 and FY 2021, considering no expenditures were allocated in FY 2020.

<sup>2.</sup> Assume 2.5% annual cost escalation starting FY 2022.