

HOUSE BILL REPORT

HB 1554

As Passed Legislature

Title: An act relating to high-occupancy vehicle lane violations.

Brief Description: Clarifying status of HOV lane violations as traffic infractions.

Sponsors: Representatives Murray, McDonald, Constantine, Mitchell, Dickerson, Ballasiotes, Scott, Radcliff, Poulsen and Romero; by request of Washington State Patrol.

Brief History:

Committee Activity:

Transportation: 2/23/99, 2/24/99 [DP].

Floor Activity:

Passed House: 3/15/99, 87-10.

Passed Senate: 4/13/99, 33-6.

Passed Legislature.

<p>Brief Summary of Bill</p> <p>· Violating HOV lane restrictions is a traffic infraction.</p>

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

Majority Report: Do pass. Signed by 26 members: Representatives Fisher, Democratic Co-Chair; K. Schmidt, Republican Co-Chair; Cooper, Democratic 1st Vice Chair; Edwards, Democratic 2nd Vice Chair; Ericksen, Republican Vice Chair; Hankins, Republican Vice Chair; DeBolt; Fortunato; Haigh; Hatfield; Hurst; Lovick; McDonald; Mielke; Mitchell; Morris; Murray; Ogden; Pflug; Radcliff; Romero; Schindler; Schual-Berke; Scott; Skinner and Wood.

Staff: Paul Johnson (786-7839).

Background:

District courts have ruled that reserving portions of highways for High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV) lanes is unenforceable, because the law does not provide for enforcement action by police officers. The law was written to give authority to the Department of Transportation and local authorities to build, designate, or sign highway lanes as high occupancy use only. Wording must be added to the statute to allow law enforcement agencies to properly enforce these lane restrictions.

Summary of Bill:

It is a traffic infraction to use HOV lanes in violation of restrictions placed on the use of such lanes by the proper authority.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Not requested.

Effective Date: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Testimony For: This legislation is necessary to clarify that violating the HOV lane restrictions is a traffic infraction. It does not change the law or add any new penalty.

Testimony Against: None.

Testified: Captain Eric Robertson, Washington State Patrol.