

Homelessness in Washington State

2017 Annual Report on the Homeless Grant Programs

December 2017 Report to the Legislature Brian Bonlender, Director

Acknowledgements

Washington State Department of Commerce

Diane Klontz, Assistant Director, Community Services and Housing Tedd Kelleher, Managing Director, Housing Assistance Unit Kim Justice, Executive Director, Office of Homeless Youth Talia Scott, Homeless Management Information Systems Manager Kathy Kinard, Office of Adult and Family Homelessness Manager Kathryn Stayrook, Administrative Support

Tedd Kelleher, tedd.kelleher@commerce.wa.gov
Washington State Department of Commerce
Housing Assistance Unit
1011 Plum St. SE
P.O. Box 42525
Olympia, WA 98504-2525
www.commerce.wa.gov

For people with disabilities, this report is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 360-725-4000 (TTY 360-586-0772).

Table of Contents

| Executive Summary | 1 |
|---|----|
| State Homeless Housing Strategic Plan | 5 |
| Consolidated Homeless Grant | 9 |
| Office of Homeless Youth Prevention and Protection Programs | 13 |
| Appendix A: 2016 Summary of Spending and Outputs by County | 19 |
| Appendix B: Point-in-Time Count of Homeless Persons Results | 55 |

Executive Summary

This annual report on Washington's homeless grant programs meets the reporting requirements of the 2005 Homelessness Housing and Assistance Act, RCW 43.185C,¹ for Calendar Year 2016 and State Fiscal Year 2017 (depending on the data source). This report also describes efforts to implement the goals in the state Homeless Housing Strategic Plan (Plan)² to reduce homelessness. Commerce awards grants to local governments and other service providers to deliver homeless housing services.

The homeless grant programs at Commerce are divided into two offices: the Office of Adult and Family Homelessness (OFAH) and the Office of Homeless Youth (OHY). This report describes the work of both offices during the reporting periods. It also includes reporting on document recording fees. The appendices contain required reporting on county spending and outputs, and the Point-in-Time Count results.

Progress Toward Reducing Adult and Family Homelessness

Despite the statewide low vacancy rates, the Point-in-Time (PIT) count conducted in January 2017 indicates that the number of people living outside dropped in the majority of counties. However, counties with fast growing economies and rents continued to see increases in homelessness.

In Calendar Year 2016, over 122,000 people experiencing homelessness or housing instability in our state were provided housing assistance, other services, or both. These individuals encountered their county homeless crisis response systems that identify and prioritize people with the greatest need so they are quickly "screened in" for housing. The goal of a highly performing system is to reach the balance between need and capacity, so that when a person becomes unsheltered there is an immediate response that quickly moves that person back into housing.

The statewide homeless crisis response system housed 99,729 people experiencing homeless or housing instability last year. Twenty-six percent of people who exited a homeless program went into permanent housing. Further improvements to this level of performance, combined with lower rental inflation, adequate rental vacancy rates, and resources matched to need, could lead to Washington state achieving the vision of "leaving no person left living outside."

Improving Practices

• In 2016, Commerce required counties to increase the number of people served who were unsheltered (as opposed to at-risk of being homeless) by at least 5 percentage

¹ Washington State Legislature, *Chapter 43.185c RCW*, (2005), http://app.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx?cite=43.185c

² Washington State Department of Commerce, State of Washington Homeless Housing Plan, http://www.commerce.wa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/V3-hau-hlp-final-homeless-strategic-plan-2017.pdf

points or reach functional zero³ for at least two sub-populations, such as families with minor children, veterans, unaccompanied youth, chronically homeless, and single adults. Most counties met or exceeded this expectation. Building off that success, Commerce has introduced additional performance measures and benchmarks for the new biennial grants to counties.

- Commerce required grantees to prioritize the use of document recording fees for
 housing unsheltered people, and created a benchmark that at least 35 percent of people
 assisted must have been unsheltered in the last two years; this included all domestic
 violence victims. Thirty-one of the 34 grantees met the required increase in serving
 unsheltered households, and four small rural counties may have met the criteria for
 achieving functional zero. While is it difficult to directly correlate the reduction in
 prevalence of unsheltered homelessness in the 2017 PIT to this requirement, this data
 may indicate that the policy change was successful.
- Commerce expanded the use of "flexible funding" to allow for payments of expenses
 that would directly help a homeless household obtain or maintain housing, as well as
 pay for permanent supportive housing for sheltered and unsheltered homeless
 households with disabilities. This additional flexibility allows communities to identify
 locally driven paths to meet the higher performance expectations added to state grants.

Improving Technical Assistance and Training

Commerce initiated and continued several activities to support homeless service providers statewide in 2016.

- **County Report Cards**: twice yearly published performance reports for counties to use in reporting service outcomes and advocating for resources in their communities.
- **Vulnerability Assessment Tools Learning Community**: monthly webinar supporting peer network of homeless providers using the various vulnerability assessment tools.
- **Emergency Shelter Provider Network**: monthly networking for shelter providers to exchange ideas and support on shelter best practices.
- Trauma Informed Care Trainings: regional trainings on working with homeless people who have been impacted by trauma, and how to provide trauma-informed care and services at a programmatic and systems level. Over a dozen trainings on grant guidelines and best practices to grantee staff and their stakeholders were provided.

Challenges to Reducing Adult and Family Homelessness

Counties with fast growing economies and rents continue to see increases in homelessness. The growing mismatch of incomes and housing costs is the primary contributor to growing homelessness in our state.⁴

³ Functional zero unsheltered is defined as the number of unsheltered homeless households moving into temporary or permanent housing being **equal to or greater than** the number of current and newly identified unsheltered homeless households.

⁴ Washington State Department of Commerce, "Why is homelessness increasing?", (2017), http://www.commerce.wa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/hau-why-homelessness-increase-2017.pdf

Shortage of Affordable Housing

According to a study by the National Low Income Housing Coalition (NLIHC),⁵ the United States has a shortage of 7.4 million affordable rental homes available to extremely low-income renter households, resulting in 35 affordable and available units for every 100 extremely low-income renter households. The study also shows that Washington state is no exception, with less than the national average of affordable and available units per 100 extremely low-income households⁶. Because of the shortage of affordable and available homes, many lower income households are cost burdened, spending more on housing than they can afford without sacrificing other necessities.⁷

Lack of Living Wage Jobs

In Washington, the fair market rent for a two-bedroom apartment is \$1,229. In order to afford this level of rent and utilities, without paying more than 30 percent of income on housing, a household must earn \$4,098 monthly or \$49,177 annually.8 The NLIHC 2017 *Out of Reach* report indicates that while costs vary by region, a person would need to work 2.1 jobs at state minimum wage to afford a two-bedroom rental at fair market rent.

Challenges With Landlord and Community Support

Across the state, as the vacancy rates become too low, homeless service providers are finding it more difficult to find affordable units for the people they serve. For years, communities have worked to strengthen relationships with local landlords and implement best practices around engaging community support. Service providers that operate programs accessible to all people living unsheltered face resistance in their communities to housing people who have behavioral health issues or criminal records. In some communities, local ordinances criminalize some of what for the homeless are life-sustaining activities. These ordinances complicate the already difficult work of garnering community support and moving every person into housing.

Continuing to Improve

Commerce improved upon the 2016 requirements and now counties are required to continue to increase the focus on housing unsheltered people. Commerce also adopted new system-wide performance requirements into the state-funded Consolidated Homeless Grant performance-based contracts. County Report Cards¹⁰ posted on the Commerce website include progress on these performance measures.

⁵ National Low Income Housing Coalition, The GAP: A Shortage of Affordable Homes, (March 2017), http://nlihc.org/sites/default/files/Gap-Report 2017.pdf

⁶ Extremely low income households have incomes at or below 30 percent of area median income.

⁷ A household is considered cost-burdened when it spends more than 30 percent of its income on rent and utilities and is severely cost-burdened when it spends more than 50 percent.

⁸ National Low Income Housing Coalition, Out of Reach 2017: Washington, (2017), http://nlihc.org/oor

⁹ Washington Low Income Housing Alliance http://wliha.org/sites/default/files/toolkit.pdf

¹⁰ Washington State Department of Commerce, *County Report Cards*, http://www.commerce.wa.gov/serving-communities/homelessness/county-report-cards/

These baseline measures provide the framework from which Commerce will evaluate performance of county homeless crisis-response systems. Commerce will continue to provide technical assistance and training to lower-performing counties and will continue to monitor and potentially sanction grantees that do not meet grant terms and conditions.

Next Steps

Because increasing rents are the primary reason homelessness has grown in recent years,¹¹ Commerce has been working to identify policy changes to improve housing affordability in the state. Initiatives include supporting the work of the Housing Affordability Response Team (HART)¹² to identify policy and resources changes needed to improve housing affordability, and implementing legislative changes¹³ to the Buildable Lands process, which will be used to ensure an adequate supply of building opportunities in urban counties.

The state Homeless Housing Strategic Plan¹⁴ lays out specific actions Commerce is taking to continue improving: 1) the prompt identification and prioritization of people experiencing homelessness, and 2) the efficiency and effectiveness of housing provided.

As directed by the plan, Commerce is working with stakeholders to refine the model used to estimate the impact of policy changes and investments on the count of people living outside, and improve publically available analytical tools to aid in local strategic planning and system transparency.

¹¹http://www.commerce.wa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/hau-why-homelessness-increase-2017.pdf

¹² http://www.commerce.wa.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/affordable-housing-advisory-board/

¹³ http://www.commerce.wa.gov/serving-communities/growth-management/growth-management-topics/buildable-lands/

¹⁴ http://www.commerce.wa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/V3-hau-hlp-final-homeless-strategic-plan-2017.pdf

State Homeless Housing Strategic Plan

The Department of Commerce (Commerce) released the updated State Homeless Housing Strategic Plan in January 2017, and the 2018 plan is under development. The next annual report will include an update linking the goals in the plan to performance measurements and results.

The Office of Adult and Family Homelessness is responsible for seven primary goals in the plan, which includes strategies, actions, and performance measures. Each goal has an activity that directs the work of the Office and is used to measure progress. Additionally, the goals, excerpted from the Plan below, guide the office's work towards the vision that no person in our state is left living outside.

- 1. Effective and efficient coordinated access and assessment for services and housing.
- 2. Effective and efficient crisis response system as measured by cost per successful exit to permanent housing.
- 3. Identification of policy changes and resources necessary to house all people living unsheltered.
- 4. Quantification of what would reduce the number of new people becoming homeless.
- 5. Transparent and meaningful accounting of state and local recording fee funds.
- 6. Fair and equitable resource distribution.
- 7. Increased investments in housing unsheltered families with children, unsheltered chronically homeless people, and preventing youth from exiting public systems to homelessness.

Improving Performance

In 2016, Commerce added a new system-wide performance measure to the Consolidated Homeless Grant contract that required counties to focus on housing unsheltered people. In 2017, Commerce also added new system-wide performance requirements in response to new requirements under RCW 43.185C.040¹⁵ including:

- 1. Percent exits to permanent housing.
- 2. Length of time people remain homeless.
- 3. Returns to homelessness within two years of exit.

County Report Cards¹⁶ posted on the Commerce website include progress on these performance measures and are reported twice annually at the state and county levels.

Commerce will continue to provide technical assistance and training to lower-performing counties and will continue to monitor and potentially sanction grantees that do not meet grant terms and conditions. The new performance measures are listed in Table 1.

¹⁵ Washington State Legislature, *RCW 43.185C.040*, (2005, 2009, 2015, 2017), http://app.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?Cite=43.185C.040

¹⁶ Washington State Department of Commerce, *County Report Cards*, http://www.commerce.wa.gov/serving-communities/homelessness/county-report-cards/

Table 1: Consolidated Homeless Grant Performance Measures in State Fiscal Years 2018 and 2019

| Intervention Type | Performance Measure/Domains | Acceptable Progress (Minimum Change from Baseline) | Performance Target |
|------------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|
| | Increase Percent Exits to Permanent Housing | Increase by at least 5 percentage points | At Least 50% |
| Emergency | Reduce Median Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless (Length of Stay) | Decrease by at least 5 days | 20 Days or Less |
| Shelter | Reduce Average Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless (Length of Stay) | Decrease by at least 5 days | 20 Days or Less |
| | Reduce Percent Return to Homelessness in 2 Years | Decrease by at least 5 percentage points | Less than 10% |
| | Increase Percent Exits to Permanent Housing | Increase by at least 5 percentage points | At Least 80% |
| Transitional | Reduce Median Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless (Length of Stay) | Decrease by at least 10 days | 90 Days or Less |
| Housing | Reduce Average Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless (Length of Stay) | Decrease by at least 10 days | 90 Days or Less |
| | Reduce Percent Return to Homelessness in 2 Years | Decrease by at least 5 percentage points | Less than 5% |
| Rapid Re-Housing | Increase Percent Exits to Permanent Housing | Increase by at least 5 percentage points | At Least 80% |
| Rapid Re-Housing | Reduce Percent Return to Homelessness in 2 Years | Decrease by at least 5 percentage points | Less than 5% |
| | Reduce Number of New Homeless | Reduce by any number | Reduce Number |
| Targeted Prevention | Increase Percent served with residence prior to project entry: institutional setting or temporarily | Increase by at least 5 percentage points | At Least 80% |
| | Increase Percent served with past homelessness (previously served in HMIS) | Increase by at least 5 percentage points | At Least 80% |
| Permanent Supportive Housing | Increase Percent Exits to or Retention of Permanent Housing | Increase by at least 5 percentage points | At Least 95% |

Document Recording Fee Expenditures

Sampling and Reporting Required for All Document Recording Fee Expenditures

Since 2012, Commerce has been required to report on the expenditures of housing vouchers, housing placements, eviction prevention payments, rent to landlords, and the portion of those rents that come from document recording fees. Below is a summary of that data. Additional details by county are in Appendix A. Commerce staff performs the data collection and analysis for this purpose, using program administration funds.

Table 2: CY2016 Summary of Estimated Expenditures to Landlords and Amounts Apportioned to Document Recording Fees

| Spending Type | Eviction Prevention | Housing Placements | Housing Vouchers | Leased Facilities | Owned Facilities |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Total Spending to Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$7,237,658 | \$15,873,730 | \$14,601,395 | \$451,172 | \$492,748 |
| Total Households Served | 3,024 | 4,595 | 2,370 | 7,639 | 12,095 |
| Total Spending to Private For-profit Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$6,780,251 | \$15,509,453 | \$10,354,246 | \$223,160 | \$0 |
| Total Spending to Non-Profit Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$324,948 | \$193,270 | \$3,328,057 | \$180,296 | \$488,398 |
| Total Spending to Government Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$72,025 | \$168,185 | \$921,864 | \$47,716 | \$4,350 |
| Document Recording Fee Spending to Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$3,333,434 | \$6,867,173 | \$6,608,070 | \$95,916 | \$66,113 |
| Document Recording Fee Households Served | 2,027 | 2,763 | 1,134 | 6,330 | 1,637 |
| Document Recording Fee Spending to Private For-profit Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$3,233,985 | \$6,724,541 | \$5,654,499 | \$70,123 | \$0 |
| Document Recording Fee Spending to Non-profit Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$57,628 | \$111,473 | \$512,777 | \$12,574 | \$66,113 |
| Document Recording Fee Spending to Government Landlords in the form of Rent Assistance | \$41,820 | \$31,160 | \$440,795 | \$13,219 | \$0 |

Note: See Appendix A for detailed expenditure reporting required under RCW 43.185C.240(1)(c)(iv).

Independent Examination of State Document Recording Fee Expenditures

Background

In 2014, the Legislature amended RCW 36.22.179(1)(b)¹⁷ to require 45 percent of the state document recording fees be set aside for private, for-profit rental housing. That change also required the Office of Financial Management (OFM) to obtain an independent examination of state document recording fee spending, specifically to determine if the 45 percent requirement is being met.

Results of the Independent Examination

The independent examination conducted by Reed and Associates CPAs for CY 2016, found that for the third year in a row Commerce exceeded the 45 percent set-aside requirement, with 76 percent expended for private rental housing. The cost of the audit is approximately \$90,000 each year, or the equivalent of approximately 50 housing vouchers for homeless households.

Private landlords are crucial partners for local homeless service providers, yet source-of-income discrimination occurs when a landlord or property manager chooses not to accept tenants with means-tested government benefits, such as disability benefits, housing vouchers, or Temporary Assistance for Needy Families. Source-of-income discrimination is difficult to prove even where it is prohibited, and its prevalence is difficult to estimate. Source-of-income discrimination is prohibited in several jurisdictions in Washington, including the cities of Bellevue, Kirkland, Redmond, Seattle, Tumwater and Vancouver, and King County.¹⁹

Commerce-contracted homeless service providers continue to report that compliance with the budget categories required to track private rental housing payments is burdensome and diverts resources from assisting homeless households.

¹⁷ Washington State Legislature, *RCW 36.22.179*, (2005, 2007, 2009, 2011, 2012, 2014), http://apps.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx?cite=36.22.179

¹⁸ Reed and Associates, CPAs, Independent Examination of the Department of Commerce's Expenditures of Private for-profit Rental Housing, (2016),

https://ofm.wa.gov/sites/default/files/public/legacy/reports/CommerceRentalHousingReport2017.pdf

¹⁹ Affordable Housing Online, *Source of Income Discrimination*, https://affordablehousingonline.com/source-of-income-antidiscrimination-laws

Consolidated Homeless Grant

The Consolidated Homeless Grant includes funding appropriated to Commerce for homeless housing from the Home Security Fund, Affordable Housing for All Account, and Transitional Housing Operating and Rent Account.

The grant funding supports crisis response systems in every county across the state so that people who are experiencing homelessness quickly receive the right intervention and services, with the goal of making homeless episodes rare, brief, and one-time. The basic elements of a crisis response system include outreach and engagement, coordinated entry, diversion, emergency shelter, rapid rehousing, limited and targeted transitional housing, permanent housing, and permanent supportive housing.

Homeless services are provided through a network of faith-based organizations, community non-profits, and local governments that have experience working with property owners and managing rent assistance programs. Interventions primarily focused on housing stability for people living outside, have been shown to successfully reduce homelessness and achieve functional-zero homelessness when adequate to meet the need. Commerce uses the federal Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing Act (HEARTH)²⁰ measures to review contract performance toward achieving an effective crisis response system and functional zero.

Consolidated Homeless Grant client data for CY 2016 is reported in Table 3. The housing interventions are federally defined and align with the data standards in the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS).

Table 3: Calendar Year 2016 Consolidated Homeless Grant Household Outcomes by Intervention

| HEARTH Outcome Measures | Homelessness Prevention | Rapid Re-housing | Emergency Shelter | Transitional Housing | Permanent Supportive Housing |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Households Enrolled | 1587 | 1979 | 5385 | 270 | 25 |
| Households Served | 1988 | 3192 | 5802 | 555 | 190 |
| Households Exited | 1465 | 2136 | 5471 | 318 | 25 |
| Average Length of Program Participation of Participants who exited to Stable Housing | 96 | 173 | 63 | 414 | 886 |
| Percent Exited to any Stable Housing Situation | 89% | 78% | 32% | 76% | 60% |

²⁰ Videos explaining HUD performance measures are at https://www.hudexchange.info/training-events/system-performance-measures/

| | Percent Exited to any Other (non-stable housing) Situation | 4% | 14% | 63% | 23% | 33% |
|----------------------------|---|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|
| Percent E Destinatio | xited to Unknown ns | 7% | 17% | 63% | 23% | 40% |
| Of All Known Entries | Percent of Households Entering This Program From an Unsheltered Location | 3% | 39% | 57% | 11% | 28% |
| Average (Housed H | Cost Per Successfully ousehold | \$693 | \$2481 | \$414 | \$3424 | \$8091 |

Housing and Essential Needs Program

Housing and Essential Needs funds are incorporated into the Consolidated Homeless Grant and administered within the grant requirements guidelines, which include prioritizing unsheltered people with the greatest needs.

The Department of Social and Health Services determines eligibility for a "Housing and Essential Needs Referral" based on a person's level of disability. Once a person has a referral, they can access assistance through their county's homeless services coordinated entry system. Services are provided through a network of faith-based organizations, community non-profits, and local governments that have experience working with property owners and managing rent assistance programs.

Housing and Essential Needs funding is appropriated by state fiscal year from state general funds. The appropriation, spending, and number of clients assisted has remained steady since the program inception in November 2011.

Table 4: State Fiscal Year 2017 Housing and Essential Needs Spending

| Spending Category | SFY 2017 Spending |
|---|-------------------|
| Rent Assistance and Operations/Essential Needs/Grantee Administration | \$26,935,302.26 |
| Commerce Administration | \$1,976,407.83 |
| Total | \$28,911,710.69 |

In Table 5, the Housing and Essential Needs client data report from the Homeless Management Information System database is for CY 2016.

Table 5: Calendar Year 2016 Increases in Housing Stability and Self-Sufficiency

| Household* Housing Outcomes | | Housing and Essential Needs Funde Housing Assistance | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------|------------|--|
| | nousehold housing Outcomes | | Rapid Re-housing | Total | |
| Househo | ds Enrolled | 2917 | 893 | 3810 | |
| Househo | ds Served | 5882 | 1920 | 7802 | |
| Househo | ds Exited | 2970 | 976 | 3946 | |
| Average Length of Program Participation of Participants who Exited to a Stable Housing Situation | | 361 | 321 | 354 | |
| own ts | Percent Exited to a Stable Housing Situation | 84% | 74% | 82% | |
| Of Known Exits | Percent Exited to any Other Housing Situation (non stable) | 15% | 23% | 16% | |
| Percent E | xited to Unknown Destinations | 10% | 41% | 18% | |
| Of Known Entries | Percent of Households Entering This Program From an Unsheltered Location | 11% | 63% | 23% | |
| Average | Cost Per Successfully Housed Household | \$6,358.14 | \$7,633.41 | \$6,774.89 | |

^{*}Households consist of one person or a group of people who self-report living together.

Note: Commerce did not grant Housing and Essential Needs funds to several counties in SFY 2017 but instead increased their state funded consolidated homeless grant to assist Housing and Essential Needs referred clients. This was done so that smaller counties, such as San Juan, Whitman, Asotin, Wahkiakum, would have fewer small fund sources to manage that we could offset with additional state document recording fees.

Table 6 shows SFY 2017 and CY 2016 Housing and Essential Needs program data by county. Spending is displayed by state fiscal year. Program recipient data is presented by calendar year.

Table 6: SFY 2017 Expenditures and Number of People Served with Rent Assistance, and Number of People Provided Essential Needs in CY 2016

| County | Expenditures SFY 2017 | Rent Assistance Distinct Recipients CY 2016* | Essential Needs Cumulative Recipients CY 2016** |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Statewide Totals | \$27,070,721 | 7,857 | 52,641 |
| Asotin | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| Benton-Franklin | 330,918 | 180 | 319 |
| Chelan-Douglas | 193,797 | 77 | 147 |
| Clallam | 394,850 | 135 | 216 |
| Clark | 1,458,399 | 204 | 1,621 |
| Columbia-Garfield | 4,430 | 0 | 1 |
| Cowlitz | 816,616 | 248 | 588 |
| Ferry | 14,057 | 4 | 14 |
| Grant/Adams | 159,914 | 53 | 439 |
| Grays Harbor | 1,072,164 | 263 | 1,202 |
| Island | 40,156 | 27 | 103 |
| Jefferson | 60,427 | 18 | 57 |
| King | 10,741,813 | 3,293 | 22,429 |
| Kitsap | 571,194 | 413 | 2,617 |
| Kittitas | 161,893 | 35 | 2,617 |
| Klickitat | 60,836 | 54 | 73 |
| Lewis | 420,734 | 268 | 1,008 |
| Lincoln | 17,722 | 8 | 44 |
| Mason | 582,843 | 148 | 663 |
| Okanogan | 87,134 | 29 | 95 |
| Pacific | 196,267 | 52 | 71 |
| Pend Oreille | 10,251 | 14 | 40 |
| Pierce | 1,987,839 | 325 | 2,183 |
| San Juan | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Skagit | 309,792 | 81 | 129 |
| Skamania | 52,874 | 67 | 59 |
| Snohomish | 2,066,076 | 512 | 3,022 |
| Spokane | 1,742,290 | 515 | 4,154 |
| Stevens | 23,325 | 22 | 170 |
| Thurston | 1,577,227 | 213 | 1,480 |
| Wahkiakum | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Walla Walla | 113,676 | 43 | 188 |
| Whatcom | 1,014,887 | 269 | 2,791 |
| Whitman | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Yakima | 786,320 | 285 | 4,002 |

^{*}Use of Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) allows an unduplicated count of individuals served with housing support

^{**}A recipient may be counted more than once if they returned for essential needs support – HMIS is not in use for essential needs.

Office of Homeless Youth Prevention and Protection Programs

Overview

The Legislature created the Office of Homeless Youth Prevention and Protection Programs (OHY) during the 2015 legislative session to "coordinate funding, policy, and practice efforts related to homeless youth." The OHY leads the statewide efforts to reduce and prevent homelessness for youth and young adults through five priority service areas to ensure our youth and young adults have:

- 1. Stable Housing Every youth has a safe and healthy place to sleep at night.
- 2. Family Reconciliation Families are reunited when safe and appropriate.
- 3. Permanent Connections Youth have opportunities to establish positive, healthy relationships with adults.
- 4. Education and Employment Youth have opportunities to advance in their education or training and obtain employment.
- 5. Social and Emotional Well-Being Youth have access to behavioral and physical health care; services nurture each youth's individual strengths and abilities.

During its first year, the OHY conducted extensive community engagement and research to understand the nature of youth homelessness across the state.

- A listening tour conducted in partnership with First Lady Trudi Inslee and A Way Home
 Washington²² heard from community leaders, service providers, and young people on what
 is needed to prevent and end youth homelessness in their community.
- A partnership with Mockingbird Society's Youth Advocates Ending Homelessness (YAEH) to engages with young people on a quarterly basis, and through the Annual Youth Leadership Summit to receive input and guidance on solutions to address youth homelessness.
- The OHY advisory committee, a statewide coalition of youth service providers and advocates, as well as state agency partners, helps shape the office's efforts.
- To strengthen knowledge in the field, the OHY and A Way Home Washington commissioned a comprehensive research report, Youth Homelessness in Washington, Landscape Scan.²³
 The report included an analysis of available data, gaps in systems addressing youth homelessness, and recommendations.

²¹ Washington State Legislature, *An act relating to homeless youth prevention and protection,* page 4, Sec. 5(3), 2015 session, http://lawfilesext.leg.wa.gov/biennium/2015-16/Pdf/Bills/Session%20Laws/Senate/5404-S2.SL.pdf.

²² Away Home Washington, Away Home Washington, http://www.awayhomewa.org/

²³ Courtney Noble, "Youth Homelessness in Washington, Landscape Scan," (June 2016)
http://www.awayhomewa.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/YouthHomelessnessWA LandscapeScan August2016.pdf

Through these initiatives, the OHY developed a set of policy, funding, and practice recommendations to prevent and end youth homelessness in its 2016 Report to the Governor and Legislature.²⁴

In January 2016, the OHY began oversight of shelter and outreach programs for youth, previously managed by the Department of Social and Health Services. The 2016 Supplemental Budget included \$3.7 million in additional funding to expand existing programs for youth under age 18 and provide new funding to serve young adults, age 18 through 24. With the new funding, the Office of Homeless Youth launched a shelter program and a housing program for young adults, which began serving participants in September of 2016.

Through these programs, the Office of Homeless Youth served 3,948 youth and young adults between July 1, 2016, and June 30, 2017. Of those served through a shelter or housing program, an average of 39 percent exited to safe and stable housing.

OHY Programs and Performance

The Office of Homeless Youth manages grants for programs targeted to two age groups – youth under age 18, and young adults age 18 to 24.

Programs that serve youth under age 18

- **Crisis Residential Centers** provide short-term, semi-secure, and secure facilities for runaway youth and adolescents in conflict with their families.
- **HOPE Centers** provide temporary residence, referrals and permanency planning for street youth.
- **Street Youth Services** connect youth to services and housing through street and community-based outreach.

Programs that serve young adults, ages 18 to 24

- **Independent Youth Housing Program** provides rental assistance and case management for youth who have aged out of the foster care system.
- Young Adult Housing Program provides rental assistance, transitional housing, and case management for homeless young adults.
- Young Adult Shelter provides emergency shelter beds to young adults.

Table 7: Office of Homeless Youth, SFY 2017 Program Data

| Number of Youth & Young Adults Served | SYS | HOPE | CRC | SCRC | YAS | YAHP | IYHP | Total Served |
|---------------------------------------|-----|------|-------|------|-----|------|------|--------------|
| Individuals | 729 | 386 | 1,167 | 361 | 921 | 235 | 149 | 3,948 |

²⁴ Washington State Department of Commerce Office of Homeless Youth, 2016 "Report to the Governor and Legislature," (2016), http://www.commerce.wa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/hau-ohy-report-2016-update.pdf

| Average Utilization | |
|---------------------|-----|
| HOPE | 45% |
| CRC | 50% |
| SCRC | 22% |

| Reason for Placement | HOPE | CRC | SCRC |
|--|------|-----|------|
| Runaway/family conflict | 10% | 8% | 21% |
| Runaway/other | 4% | 7% | 17% |
| Conflict with family/non-runaway | 25% | 16% | 5% |
| Family rejection due to LGBTQ | 1% | 0% | 0% |
| Safety: trafficking | 1% | 0% | 0% |
| Safety: unaccompanied | 10% | 8% | 3% |
| Safety: abuse/neglect | 6% | 13% | 3% |
| Emergency placement of dependent youth | 38% | 38% | 3% |
| Detention for truancy | 1% | 0% | 0% |
| Data not collected | 4% | 10% | 48% |

| Exits to Safe and Stable Housing | |
|----------------------------------|-----|
| HOPE, CRC, SCRC | 52% |
| IYHP, YAHP | 48% |
| YAS | 11% |
| Average Age of Participant | |
| SYS | 16 |
| HOPE | 16 |
| CRC | 15 |
| SCRC | 15 |
| YAS | 20 |
| YAHP | 19 |
| IYHP | 19 |

| Program Demographics | SYS | НОРЕ | CRC | SCRC | YAS | YAHP | IYHP |
|---|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|------|
| Race | | L | | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 4% | 5% | 8% | 6% | 5% | 2% | 9% |
| Asian | 0% | 2% | 1% | 3% | 2% | 3% | 1% |
| Black or African American | 7% | 21% | 16% | 8% | 16% | 6% | 26% |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 1% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 3% | 0% |
| White | 50% | 48% | 60% | 78% | 49% | 72% | 56% |
| Multiple races | 8% | 12% | 11% | 0% | 10% | 8% | 5% |
| Client doesn't know/refused | 20% | 6% | 2% | 3% | 9% | 6% | 1% |
| Data not collected | 10% | 3% | 0% | 0% | 7% | 0% | 2% |
| Ethnicity | | | | | | | |
| Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino | 55% | 68% | 80% | 70% | 73% | 72% | 69% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 13% | 25% | 19% | 28% | 13% | 23% | 25% |
| Client doesn't know | 3% | 4% | 0% | 2% | 1% | 0% | 2% |
| Client refused | 20% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 8% | 4% | 1% |
| Data not collected | 8% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 5% | 1% | 3% |
| Sexual Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Heterosexual | 46% | 66% | 74% | 63% | 1% | 43% | 46% |
| Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, Questioning | 10% | 20% | 13% | 8% | 1% | 5% | 5% |
| Client doesn't know | 0% | 4% | 2% | 4% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Client refused | 11% | 4% | 2% | 2% | 1% | 1% | 1% |
| Data not collected | 33% | 6% | 8% | 22% | 97% | 50% | 46% |

Efforts Underway or in the Planning Stages for 2017 and 2018

- **Criminal Justice Training Program**: a program launched in July of 2017 to train criminal justice personnel on best practices to identify and engage homeless youth, and the laws governing the intersection of law enforcement and homeless youth.
- **Preventing exits from public systems into homelessness**: through funding appropriated in the 2017-19 state operating budget, the OHY will fund projects that test innovative approaches, services, or program models that prevent youth from exiting foster care, behavioral health, or the criminal justice system into homelessness.

- Performance measures: The OHY is moving towards a performance-based system to
 address youth homelessness. Shifting towards a focus on outcomes allows for continued
 improvement and progress towards program and system-wide goals to prevent and end
 youth homelessness. Beginning Jan. 1, 2018, OHY will measure data on a wide set of
 outcomes for each of its programs. Beginning Jan. 1, 2019, the OHY will adopt a set of
 measures to serve as performance standards, which will be used to evaluate grantee
 performance.
- Policy development: through the creation of a subcommittee of the OHY Advisory
 Committee, efforts are underway to develop a proposal for a public system response for
 youth under age 18 who are at risk or experiencing homelessness that is accessible, on
 demand, and has the capacity to provide needed services. The proposal will serve as a
 prevention and intervention strategy for youth homelessness, with a focus on
 supporting families and youth who are in crisis or need support.

Independent Youth Housing Program

The Legislature created the Independent Youth Housing Program (IYHP) in 2007²⁵ to provide rent assistance and case management to youth ages 18 to 23 years old who have exited the state dependency system. The Legislature appropriated \$1.8 million from the state Home Security Fund during the 2015-17 Biennium. The success of the Independent Youth Housing Program is measured in the Homeless Management Information System using the outcomes reported in Table 8 as required under RCW 43.63A.311.²⁶ Table 9 provides a county-by-county breakdown of participant outcomes for IYHP.

Table 8: SFY 2017 Outcomes for Independent Youth Housing Program

| Outcome Measures | IYHP Funded Household Outcomes |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Total Households* Enrolled | 44 |
| Total Households Served | 103 |
| Total Households Exited | 46 |
| Average Length of Program Participation of Exited Participants | 418 |
| Exited to a Stable Housing Situation (with or without subsidy) | 31 |
| Exited to an Unsubsidized Stable Housing Situation | 27 |
| Exited to any Other Housing Situation | 15 |
| Exited With Increased Income** | 16 |

²⁵ Washington State Legislature, RCW 43.63A.305, (2007, 2009), http://app.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=43.63A.305

²⁶ Washington State Legislature, RCW 43.63A.311, (2007),

wasnington State Legislature, RCW 43.63A.311, (2007), http://apps.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx?cite=43.63A.311

| Exited With Improved Economic Self-Sufficiency** | 27 |
|--|----|
| Exited With Increased Education** | 9 |
| Exited With Increased Employment** | 15 |
| Exited With Increased Life Skills** | 14 |
| Exited With Decreased Use of State Funded Services** | 18 |

^{*}Households consist of one person or a group of people who self-report living together.
**Households may be counted in one or more of these categories.

Table 9: State Fiscal Year 2017 Independent Youth Housing Program Outcomes by County

| Outcome Measures | Benton Franklin | King | Kittitas | Lewis | Pierce | Spokane | Thurston | Walla Walla | Yakima | Total |
|---|--------------------|------|----------|-------|--------|---------|----------|----------------|--------|-------|
| Households Newly Enrolled During the Report Period | 4 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 44 |
| Households Served During Report Period | 11 | 29 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 19 | 16 | 3 | 11 | 103 |
| Households Exited During Report Period | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 46 |
| The Average Length of Program Participation (days) | 320 | 634 | n/a | n/a | 623 | 318 | 348 | n/a | 432 | 418 |
| Exited to Stable Housing | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 31 |
| Exited to Unsubsidized Stable Housing | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 27 |
| Exited to any Other Housing Situation | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Exited with Increased Income | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 16 |
| Exited with Improved Economic Self – Sufficiency (3 or more categories) | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 27 |
| Exited with Increased Education | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Exited with Increased Employment | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Exited with Increased Life Skills | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| Exited With Decreased Use of Non Cash Benefits | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 18 |
| Total Exited with Improved Circumstances | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 31 |

Appendix A: 2016 Summary of Spending and Outputs by County

Tables 10 through 16 contain detailed, county-by-county data on all reported spending (including state and locally administered document recording fees) and outcomes for Calendar Year 2016 for activities defined in RCW 43.185C.240(1)(c)(iv)²⁷: eviction prevention, housing placements, housing vouchers, leased facilities, and owned facilities. Note that a dash (-) is used to indicate instances where no data were reported, to differentiate instances where a county reported a zero.

Table 10 (pages 20-24): Calendar Year 2016 Summary of Total Spending for Housing Vouchers

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: Total of Payments |
|-------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Adams | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Total | 14 | 23 | 6 | - |
| Asotin | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Asotin | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Asotin | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Benton-Franklin | Total | 23 | 26 | 174 | \$14,116 |
| Benton-Franklin | For-profit | 5 | 5 | 39 | \$14,116 |
| Benton-Franklin | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Benton-Franklin | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Chelan-Douglas | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Total | 56 | 74 | 374 | \$277,195 |
| Clark | For-profit | 11 | 15 | 75 | \$55,439 |
| Clark | Non-profit | 6 | 7 | 37 | \$13,860 |
| Clark | Government | 39 | 52 | 262 | \$210,668 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

²⁷Washington State Legislature, RCW 43.185c.240, (2012, 2014, 2015), http://app.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx?Cite=43.185c.240

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: Total of Payments |
|-------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Columbia-Garfield | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Total | 20 | 20 | 66 | \$13,611 |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Non-profit | 20 | 20 | 66 | \$13,611 |
| Cowlitz | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Total | 22 | 39 | 25 | \$1,514 |
| Grant | For-profit | 22 | 39 | 25 | \$1,514 |
| Grant | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Total | 43 | 87 | 47 | \$22,088 |
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | 43 | 87 | 47 | \$22,088 |
| Grays Harbor | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Total | 13 | 16 | 2 | \$322 |
| Island | For-profit | 13 | 16 | 2 | \$322 |
| Island | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Total | 965 | 1642 | 8266 | \$6,806,560 |
| King | For-profit | 647 | 1100 | 5538 | \$5,241,051 |
| King | Non-profit | 309 | 526 | 2645 | \$1,497,443 |
| King | Government | 10 | 16 | 83 | \$68,066 |
| Kitsap | Total | 133 | 220 | 783 | \$415,880 |
| Kitsap | For-profit | 60 | 99 | 352 | \$224,575 |
| Kitsap | Non-profit | 24 | 40 | 141 | \$41,588 |
| Kitsap | Government | 48 | 79 | 282 | \$149,717 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: Total of Payments |
|--------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Kittitas | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Total | 193 | 193 | 1011 | \$300,983 |
| Okanogan | For-profit | 145 | 145 | 758 | \$279,914 |
| Okanogan | Non-profit | 48 | 48 | 253 | \$21,069 |
| Okanogan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Total | 53 | 104 | 5 | \$803 |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | 53 | 104 | 5 | \$803 |
| Pend Oreille | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Total | 112 | 114 | 1189 | \$596,226 |
| Pierce | For-profit | 62 | 63 | 654 | \$342,830 |
| Pierce | Non-profit | 40 | 41 | 428 | \$178,868 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: Total of Payments |
|-------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Pierce | Government | 10 | 10 | 107 | \$74,528 |
| San Juan | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Total | 309 | 537 | 3451 | \$3,322,992 |
| Snohomish | For-profit | 309 | 537 | 3451 | \$3,322,992 |
| Snohomish | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Total | 239 | 341 | 2051 | \$2,114,017 |
| Spokane | For-profit | 136 | 194 | 1169 | \$655,345 |
| Spokane | Non-profit | 103 | 147 | 882 | \$1,458,671 |
| Spokane | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Total | 11 | 20 | 82 | \$38,512 |
| Stevens | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Non-profit | 11 | 20 | 82 | \$38,512 |
| Stevens | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Thurston | Total | 101 | 254 | 698 | \$544,007 |
| Thurston | For-profit | 23 | 58 | 161 | \$111,521 |
| Thurston | Non-profit | 8 | 20 | 56 | \$13,600 |
| Thurston | Government | 70 | 175 | 482 | \$418,885 |
| Wahkiakum | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Walla Walla | Total | 11 | 15 | 72 | \$37,673 |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | 11 | 15 | 72 | \$37,673 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: Total of Payments |
|-------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Walla Walla | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Total | 39 | 107 | 43 | \$44,063 |
| Whatcom | For-profit | 39 | 107 | 43 | \$44,063 |
| Whatcom | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Total | 13 | 21 | 105 | \$50,835 |
| Yakima | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Non-profit | 13 | 21 | 105 | \$50,835 |
| Yakima | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

Table 11 (pages 24-28): Calendar Year 2016 Summary of Reported Housing Voucher Spending by County Attributed to State and Locally Administered Document Recording Fees

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: TOTAL of Payments |
|-----------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Adams | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Total | 5 | 5 | 39 | \$14,116 |
| Benton-Franklin | For-profit | 5 | 5 | 39 | \$14,116 |
| Benton-Franklin | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Chelan-Douglas | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Total | 11 | 15 | 75 | \$20,313 |
| Clark | For-profit | 11 | 15 | 75 | \$20,313 |
| Clark | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Total | 20 | 20 | 66 | \$13,611 |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Non-profit | 20 | 20 | 66 | \$13,611 |
| Cowlitz | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Total | 22 | 39 | 25 | \$1,514 |
| Grant | For-profit | 22 | 39 | 25 | \$1,514 |
| Grant | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Total | 13 | 16 | 2 | \$297 |
| Island | For-profit | 13 | 16 | 2 | \$297 |
| Island | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: TOTAL of Payments |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Island | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Total | 415 | 706 | 3554 | \$2,287,577 |
| King | For-profit | 320 | 544 | 2737 | \$1,830,061 |
| King | Non-profit | 87 | 148 | 746 | \$434,640 |
| King | Government | 8 | 14 | 71 | \$22,876 |
| Kitsap | Total | 73 | 121 | 431 | \$208,828 |
| Kitsap | For-profit | 49 | 81 | 289 | \$167,062 |
| Kitsap | Non-profit | 24 | 40 | 142 | \$41,766 |
| Kitsap | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Total | 145 | 145 | 758 | \$153,919 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Okanogan | For-profit | 145 | 145 | 758 | \$153,919 |
| Okanogan | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Total | 32 | 62 | 3 | \$608 |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | 32 | 62 | 3 | \$608 |
| Pend Oreille | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Total | 40 | 41 | 428 | \$79,687 |
| Pierce | For-profit | 30 | 31 | 321 | \$4,781 |
| Pierce | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Government | 10 | 10 | 107 | \$74,906 |
| San Juan | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Total | 247 | 430 | 2761 | \$3,229,824 |
| Snohomish | For-profit | 247 | 430 | 2761 | \$3,229,824 |
| Snohomish | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Total | 26 | 38 | 226 | \$143,485 |
| Spokane | For-profit | 26 | 38 | 226 | \$143,485 |
| Spokane | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Vouchers: Number of Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Vouchers: Number of Payments | Housing Vouchers: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Spokane | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Total | 11 | 20 | 82 | \$22,761 |
| Stevens | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Non-profit | 11 | 20 | 82 | \$22,761 |
| Stevens | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Thurston | Total | 23 | 58 | 161 | \$372,840 |
| Thurston | For-profit | 8 | 19 | 53 | \$29,827 |
| Thurston | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Thurston | Government | 16 | 39 | 108 | \$343,013 |
| Wahkiakum | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Walla Walla | Total | 11 | 15 | 72 | \$37,673 |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | 11 | 15 | 72 | \$37,673 |
| Walla Walla | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Total | 39 | 107 | 43 | \$21,018 |
| Whatcom | For-profit | 39 | 107 | 43 | \$21,018 |
| Whatcom | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

Table 12 (pages 29-33): Calendar Year 2016 Summary of Total Reported Eviction Prevention Spending by County

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Adams | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Total | 35 | 51 | 130 | \$60,565 |
| Asotin | For-profit | 35 | 51 | 130 | \$130 |
| Asotin | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Total | 171 | 429 | 466 | \$366,166 |
| Benton-Franklin | For-profit | 171 | 429 | 466 | \$366,166 |
| Benton-Franklin | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Total | 102 | 248 | 100 | - |
| Chelan-Douglas | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Chelan-Douglas | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Chelan-Douglas | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Clallam | Total | 91 | 108 | 774 | - |
| Clallam | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Clallam | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Clallam | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Clark | Total | 126 | 172 | 659 | \$286,140 |
| Clark | For-profit | 71 | 96 | 369 | \$183,130 |
| Clark | Non-profit | 55 | 76 | 290 | \$103,010 |
| Clark | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Total | 21 | 56 | 43 | \$22,020 |
| Columbia-Garfield | For-profit | 21 | 56 | 43 | \$22,020 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Total | 5 | 12 | 8 | \$5,460 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Ferry | For-profit | 5 | 12 | 8 | \$5,460 |
| Ferry | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Total | 24 | 76 | 28 | - |
| Grant | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Grant | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Grant | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Grays Harbor | Total | 314 | 348 | 1950 | \$774,004 |
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | 314 | 348 | 1950 | \$774,004 |
| Grays Harbor | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Total | 190 | 484 | 724 | \$503,584 |
| Island | For-profit | 162 | 411 | 615 | \$458,261 |
| Island | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Government | 29 | 73 | 109 | \$45,323 |
| Jefferson | Total | 19 | 40 | 49 | - |
| Jefferson | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Jefferson | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Jefferson | Government | - | - | - | - |
| King | Total | 553 | 1,459 | 1,053 | \$2,512,973 |
| King | For-profit | 553 | 1,459 | 1,053 | \$2,512,973 |
| King | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Total | 133 | 272 | 535 | \$381,461 |
| Kitsap | For-profit | 44 | 90 | 177 | \$133,511 |
| Kitsap | Non-profit | 67 | 136 | 268 | \$221,247 |
| Kitsap | Government | 23 | 46 | 91 | \$26,702 |
| Kittitas | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Total | 15 | 28 | 96 | - |
| Klickitat | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Klickitat | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Klickitat | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Lewis | Total | 122 | 218 | 405 | - |
| Lewis | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Lewis | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Lewis | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Lincoln | Total | 20 | 37 | 12 | \$5,933 |
| Lincoln | For-profit | 20 | 37 | 12 | \$5,933 |
| Lincoln | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Total | 43 | 91 | 77 | \$64,242 |
| Mason | For-profit | 43 | 91 | 77 | \$64,242 |
| Mason | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Total | 335 | 335 | 1963 | \$395,207 |
| Okanogan | For-profit | 335 | 335 | 1963 | \$395,207 |
| Okanogan | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Total | 27 | 27 | 224 | \$37,909 |
| Pacific | For-profit | 27 | 27 | 224 | \$37,909 |
| Pacific | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Total | 36 | 68 | 77 | \$18,296 |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | 36 | 68 | 77 | \$18,296 |
| Pend Oreille | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Total | 31 | 78 | 74 | - |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Skagit | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Skagit | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Skagit | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Skamania | Total | 3 | 11 | 37 | - |
| Skamania | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Skamania | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Skamania | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Snohomish | Total | 2 | 2 | 2 | \$4,115 |
| Snohomish | For-profit | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$3,425 |
| Snohomish | Non-profit | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$690 |
| Snohomish | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Total | 25 | 53 | 58 | \$34,105 |
| Stevens | For-profit | 25 | 53 | 58 | \$34,105 |
| Stevens | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Thurston | Total | 3 | 4 | 31 | - |
| Thurston | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Thurston | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Thurston | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Total | 10 | 26 | 36 | - |
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Walla Walla | Total | 109 | 181 | 155 | \$90,423 |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | 109 | 181 | 155 | \$90,423 |
| Walla Walla | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Total | 370 | 677 | 1,232 | \$1,632,974 |
| Whatcom | For-profit | 370 | 677 | 1232 | \$1,632,974 |
| Whatcom | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|---------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Whatcom | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Total | 89 | 225 | 57 | \$42,081 |
| Whitman | For-profit | 89 | 225 | 57 | \$42,081 |
| Whitman | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

Table 13 (Pages 33-37): Calendar Year 2016 Summary of Reported Eviction Prevention Spending Attributed to State and Locally Administered Document Recording Fees

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-----------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Adams | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Total | 35 | 51 | 130 | \$60,565 |
| Asotin | For-profit | 35 | 51 | 130 | \$60,565 |
| Asotin | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Total | 171 | 429 | 466 | \$366,166 |
| Benton-Franklin | For-profit | 171 | 429 | 466 | \$366,166 |
| Benton-Franklin | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Clallam | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Total | 21 | 56 | 43 | \$19,353 |
| Columbia-Garfield | For-profit | 21 | 56 | 43 | \$19,353 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Total | 5 | 12 | 8 | \$3,679 |
| Ferry | For-profit | 5 | 12 | 8 | \$3,679 |
| Ferry | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Total | 190 | 484 | 724 | \$464,667 |
| Island | For-profit | 162 | 411 | 615 | \$422,847 |
| Island | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Government | 29 | 73 | 109 | \$41,820 |
| Jefferson | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-----------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Jefferson | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Total | 553 | 1,459 | 1,053 | \$1,034,647 |
| King | For-profit | 553 | 1,459 | 1,053 | \$1,034,647 |
| King | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Total | 89 | 182 | 358 | \$189,795 |
| Kitsap | For-profit | 45 | 91 | 179 | \$132,856 |
| Kitsap | Non-profit | 45 | 91 | 179 | \$56,938 |
| Kitsap | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Total | 20 | 37 | 12 | \$5,933 |
| Mason | For-profit | 20 | 37 | 12 | \$5,933 |
| Mason | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Total | 43 | 91 | 77 | \$216,664 |
| Okanogan | For-profit | 43 | 91 | 77 | \$216,664 |
| Okanogan | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Total | 335 | 335 | 1963 | \$854,036 |
| Pacific | For-profit | 335 | 335 | 1963 | \$854,036 |
| Pacific | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Pend Oreille | Total | 24 | 46 | 52 | \$14,436 |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | 24 | 46 | 52 | \$14,436 |
| Pend Oreille | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Total | 2 | 2 | 2 | \$4,115 |
| Snohomish | For-profit | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$3,425 |
| Snohomish | Non-profit | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$690 |
| Snohomish | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Total | 25 | 53 | 58 | \$31,295 |
| Stevens | For-profit | 25 | 53 | 58 | \$31,295 |
| Stevens | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Thurston | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Thurston | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Eviction Prevention Payments: Number of Payments | Eviction Prevention Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Thurston | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Thurston | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wahkiakum | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Walla Walla | Total | 55 | 91 | 78 | \$36,868 |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | 55 | 91 | 78 | \$36,868 |
| Walla Walla | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Total | 370 | 677 | 1232 | \$778,928 |
| Whatcom | For-profit | 370 | 677 | 1232 | \$778,928 |
| Whatcom | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Total | 89 | 225 | 57 | \$42,081 |
| Whitman | For-profit | 89 | 225 | 57 | \$42,081 |
| Whitman | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

Table 14 (Pages 38-42): Calendar Year 2016 Summary of Total Reported Housing Placements and Spending by County

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Adams | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Total | 14 | 31 | 36 | - |
| Asotin | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Asotin | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Asotin | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Benton-Franklin | Total | 265 | 583 | 797 | \$923,169 |
| Benton-Franklin | For-profit | 235 | 517 | 719 | \$859,168 |
| Benton-Franklin | Non-profit | 30 | 66 | 78 | \$64,001 |
| Benton-Franklin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Total | 36 | 67 | 25 | - |
| Chelan-Douglas | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Chelan-Douglas | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Chelan-Douglas | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Clallam | Total | 43 | 56 | 345 | - |
| Clallam | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Clallam | Non-profit | - | 1 | ı | - |
| Clallam | Government | - | 1 | ı | - |
| Clark | Total | 110 | 207 | 644 | \$403,968 |
| Clark | For-profit | 88 | 166 | 515 | \$359,531 |
| Clark | Non-profit | 22 | 41 | 129 | \$44,436 |
| Clark | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Total | 5 | 17 | 12 | \$11,178 |
| Columbia-Garfield | For-profit | 5 | 17 | 12 | \$11,178 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Total | 59 | 195 | 149 | \$115,766 |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | 59 | 195 | 149 | \$115,766 |
| Cowlitz | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Cowlitz | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Total | 84 | 187 | 68 | \$23,501 |
| Grant | For-profit | 42 | 94 | 34 | \$15,275 |
| Grant | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Government | 42 | 94 | 34 | \$8,225 |
| Grays Harbor | Total | 258 | 393 | 1381 | \$884,657 |
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | 258 | 393 | 1381 | \$884,657 |
| Grays Harbor | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Total | 78 | 188 | 300 | \$135,105 |
| Island | For-profit | 78 | 188 | 300 | \$135,105 |
| Island | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Total | 24 | 48 | 46 | \$32,988 |
| Jefferson | For-profit | 24 | 48 | 46 | \$32,988 |
| Jefferson | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jefferson | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Total | 794 | 1,620 | 4,131 | \$7,058,852 |
| King | For-profit | 746 | 1523 | 3883 | \$6,917,675 |
| King | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Government | 48 | 97 | 248 | \$138,353 |
| Kitsap | Total | 42 | 87 | 131 | \$165,907 |
| Kitsap | For-profit | 42 | 87 | 131 | \$165,907 |
| Kitsap | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Total | 62 | 132 | 93 | \$118,159 |
| Kittitas | For-profit | 62 | 132 | 93 | \$118,159 |
| Kittitas | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Total | 47 | 62 | 301 | \$135,300 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Klickitat | For-profit | 47 | 62 | 301 | \$135,300 |
| Klickitat | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Total | 80 | 187 | 338 | - |
| Lewis | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Lewis | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Lewis | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Lincoln | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Total | 41 | 123 | 90 | \$79,100 |
| Mason | For-profit | 41 | 123 | 90 | \$79,100 |
| Mason | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Total | 177 | 177 | 906 | - |
| Okanogan | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Okanogan | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Okanogan | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Pacific | Total | 335 | 335 | 1963 | \$443,367 |
| Pacific | For-profit | 335 | 335 | 1963 | \$443,367 |
| Pacific | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Total | 21 | 41 | 8 | - |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Pend Oreille | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Pend Oreille | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Pierce | Total | 513 | 1,233 | 2,284 | \$1,650,149 |
| Pierce | For-profit | 513 | 1233 | 2284 | \$1,650,149 |
| Pierce | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Juan | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| San Juan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Total | 60 | 189 | 258 | \$146,456 |
| Skagit | For-profit | 60 | 189 | 258 | \$146,456 |
| Skagit | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Total | 11 | 15 | 38 | \$21,433 |
| Skamania | For-profit | 11 | 15 | 38 | \$21,433 |
| Skamania | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Total | 12 | 40 | 69 | \$54,740 |
| Snohomish | For-profit | 12 | 40 | 69 | \$54,740 |
| Snohomish | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Total | 392 | 769 | 1,078 | \$759,814 |
| Spokane | For-profit | 361 | 707 | 992 | \$714,226 |
| Spokane | Non-profit | 31 | 62 | 86 | \$45,589 |
| Spokane | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Total | 58 | 106 | 80 | \$40,033 |
| Stevens | For-profit | 58 | 106 | 80 | \$40,033 |
| Stevens | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Thurston | Total | 339 | 620 | 1,581 | - |
| Thurston | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Thurston | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Thurston | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Total | 7 | 17 | 28 | - |
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Walla Walla | Total | 96 | 250 | 283 | \$196,216 |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | 72 | 188 | 212 | \$156,973 |
| Walla Walla | Non-profit | 24 | 63 | 71 | \$39,243 |
| Walla Walla | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Total | 247 | 563 | 960 | \$1,719,958 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|---------|--|--|---|--|---|
| Whatcom | For-profit | 247 | 563 | 960 | \$1,719,958 |
| Whatcom | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Total | 36 | 79 | 41 | \$33,719 |
| Whitman | For-profit | 36 | 79 | 41 | \$33,719 |
| Whitman | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Total | 249 | 565 | 836 | \$720,195 |
| Yakima | For-profit | 224 | 509 | 752 | \$698,589 |
| Yakima | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Government | 25 | 57 | 84 | \$21,606 |

Table 15 (pages 42-46): Calendar Year 2016 Summary of Reported Housing Placements and Spending by County Attributed to State and Locally Administered Document Recording Fees

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-----------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Adams | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Benton-Franklin | Total | 265 | 583 | 797 | \$923,169 |
| Benton-Franklin | For-profit | 235 | 517 | 719 | \$859,168 |
| Benton-Franklin | Non-profit | 30 | 66 | 78 | \$64,001 |
| Benton-Franklin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Chelan-Douglas | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Chelan-Douglas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clallam | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Total | 22 | 41 | 129 | \$59,206 |
| Clark | For-profit | 22 | 41 | 129 | \$59,206 |
| Clark | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clark | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Total | 5 | 17 | 12 | \$11,178 |
| Columbia-Garfield | For-profit | 5 | 17 | 12 | \$11,178 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Columbia-Garfield | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Total | 59 | 195 | 149 | \$115,766 |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | 59 | 195 | 149 | \$115,766 |
| Cowlitz | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Total | 84 | 187 | 68 | \$23,501 |
| Grant | For-profit | 42 | 94 | 34 | \$15,275 |
| Grant | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Government | 42 | 94 | 34 | \$8,225 |
| Grays Harbor | Total | 75 | 114 | 400 | \$302,956 |
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | 75 | 114 | 400 | \$302,956 |
| Grays Harbor | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Total | 78 | 188 | 300 | \$124,664 |
| Island | For-profit | 78 | 188 | 300 | \$124,664 |
| Island | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Island | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-----------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Jefferson | Total | 24 | 48 | 46 | \$32,988 |
| Jefferson | For-profit | 24 | 48 | 46 | \$32,988 |
| Jefferson | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jefferson | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Total | 397 | 810 | 2066 | \$1,801,762 |
| King | For-profit | 397 | 810 | 2066 | \$1,801,762 |
| King | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| King | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Total | 42 | 87 | 131 | \$165,907 |
| Kitsap | For-profit | 42 | 87 | 131 | \$165,907 |
| Kitsap | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Total | 62 | 132 | 93 | \$118,159 |
| Kittitas | For-profit | 62 | 132 | 93 | \$118,159 |
| Kittitas | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Total | 47 | 62 | 301 | \$135,300 |
| Klickitat | For-profit | 47 | 62 | 301 | \$135,300 |
| Klickitat | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Total | 41 | 123 | 90 | \$79,100 |
| Mason | For-profit | 41 | 123 | 90 | \$79,100 |
| Mason | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Total | 335 | 335 | 1963 | \$394,618 |
| Pacific | For-profit | 335 | 335 | 1963 | \$394,618 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Pacific | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Total | 257 | 617 | 1142 | \$661,654 |
| Pierce | For-profit | 257 | 617 | 1142 | \$661,654 |
| Pierce | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Total | 11 | 15 | 38 | \$21,433 |
| Snohomish | For-profit | 11 | 15 | 38 | \$21,433 |
| Snohomish | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Total | 12 | 40 | 69 | \$54,740 |
| Spokane | For-profit | 12 | 40 | 69 | \$54,740 |
| Spokane | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Spokane | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stevens | Total | 333 | 654 | 916 | \$678,163 |
| Stevens | For-profit | 303 | 595 | 834 | \$630,692 |
| Stevens | Non-profit | 30 | 59 | 82 | \$47,471 |
| Stevens | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Thurston | Total | 58 | 106 | 80 | \$40,033 |
| Thurston | For-profit | 58 | 106 | 80 | \$40,033 |
| Thurston | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Thurston | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of People in Households Served | Housing Placement Payments: Number of Payments | Housing Placement Payments: TOTAL of Payments |
|-------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Wahkiakum | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Non-profit | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Government | - | - | - | - |
| Walla Walla | Total | 24 | 63 | 71 | \$35,333 |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | 24 | 63 | 71 | \$35,333 |
| Walla Walla | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Total | 247 | 563 | 960 | \$608,587 |
| Whatcom | For-profit | 247 | 563 | 960 | \$608,587 |
| Whatcom | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Total | 36 | 79 | 41 | \$20,266 |
| Whitman | For-profit | 36 | 79 | 41 | \$20,266 |
| Whitman | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Total | 249 | 565 | 836 | \$458,690 |
| Yakima | For-profit | 224 | 509 | 752 | \$435,755 |
| Yakima | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Government | 25 | 57 | 84 | \$22,934 |

Table 16 (pages 47-51): Calendar Year 2016 Summary of Reported Facility-Based Housing Spending by County

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | All Rent Payment Spending for Facility- based Housing Related Activities | All Rent Payment Fee Spending for Facility- based Housing | |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Adams | Total | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Adams | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Adams | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Adams | Government | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Asotin | Total | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Asotin | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Asotin | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Asotin | Government | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Benton-Franklin | Total | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Benton-Franklin | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Benton-Franklin | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Benton-Franklin | Government | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Chelan-Douglas | Total | \$20,412 | \$20,400 | |
| Chelan-Douglas | For-profit | \$20,400 | \$20,388 | |
| Chelan-Douglas | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Chelan-Douglas | Government | \$12 | \$12 | |
| Clallam | Total | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Clallam | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Clallam | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Clallam | Government | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Clark | Total | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Clark | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Clark | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Clark | Government | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Columbia-Garfield | Total | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Columbia-Garfield | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Columbia-Garfield | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Columbia-Garfield | Government | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Cowlitz | Total | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Cowlitz | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Cowlitz | Government | \$0 | \$0 | |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | All Rent Payment Spending for Facility- based Housing Related Activities | All Rent Payment Fee Spending for Facility- based Housing | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Ferry | Total | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Ferry | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Ferry | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Ferry | Government | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Grant | Total | - | - | | |
| Grant | For-profit | - | - | | |
| Grant | Non Profit | - | - | | |
| Grant | Government | - | - | | |
| Grays Harbor | Total | - | - | | |
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | - | - | | |
| Grays Harbor | Non Profit | - | - | | |
| Grays Harbor | Government | - | - | | |
| Island | Total | \$16,680 | \$16,680 | | |
| Island | For-profit | \$16,680 | \$16,680 | | |
| Island | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Island | Government | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Jefferson | Total | \$60 | \$60 | | |
| Jefferson | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Jefferson | Non Profit | \$60 | \$60 | | |
| Jefferson | Government | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| King | Total | \$352,544 | \$24,480 | | |
| King | For-profit | \$147,680 | \$10,255 | | |
| King | Non Profit | \$180,224 | \$12,514 | | |
| King | Government | \$24,640 | \$1,711 | | |
| Kitsap | Total | \$12 | \$0 | | |
| Kitsap | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Kitsap | Non Profit | \$12 | \$0 | | |
| Kitsap | Government | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Kittitas | Total | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Kittitas | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Kittitas | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Kittitas | Government | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Klickitat | Total | \$0 | \$0 | | |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | All Rent Payment Spending for Facility- based Housing Related Activities | All Rent Payment Fee Spending for Facility- based Housing | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Klickitat | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Klickitat | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Klickitat | Government | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Lewis | Total | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Lewis | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Lewis | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Lewis | Government | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Lincoln | Total | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Lincoln | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Lincoln | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Lincoln | Government | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Mason | Total | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Mason | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Mason | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Mason | Government | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Okanogan | Total | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Okanogan | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Okanogan | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Okanogan | Government | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Pacific | Total | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Pacific | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Pacific | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Pacific | Government | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Pend Oreille | Total | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Pend Oreille | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Pend Oreille | Government | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Pierce | Total | - | - | | |
| Pierce | For-profit | - | - | | |
| Pierce | Non Profit | - | - | | |
| Pierce | Government | - | - | | |
| San Juan | Total | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| San Juan | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | All Rent Payment Spending for Facility- based Housing Related Activities | All Rent Payment Fee Spending for Facility- based Housing | | |
|-------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| San Juan | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| San Juan | Government | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Skagit | Total | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Skagit | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Skagit | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Skagit | Government | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Skamania | Total | \$8,376 | \$8,376 | | |
| Skamania | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Skamania | Non Profit | \$0 | 0 | | |
| Skamania | Government | \$8,376 | \$8,376 | | |
| Snohomish | Total | - | - | | |
| Snohomish | For-profit | - | - | | |
| Snohomish | Non Profit | - | - | | |
| Snohomish | Government | - | - | | |
| Spokane | Total | \$14,400 | \$14,400 | | |
| Spokane | For-profit | \$14,400 | \$14,000 | | |
| Spokane | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Spokane | Government | \$0 | 0 | | |
| Stevens | Total | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Stevens | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Stevens | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Stevens | Government | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Thurston | Total | - | - | | |
| Thurston | For-profit | - | - | | |
| Thurston | Non Profit | - | - | | |
| Thurston | Government | - | - | | |
| Wahkiakum | Total | - | - | | |
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | - | - | | |
| Wahkiakum | Non Profit | - | - | | |
| Wahkiakum | Government | - | - | | |
| Walla Walla | Total | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| Walla Walla | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 | | |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | All Rent Payment Spending for Facility- based Housing Related Activities | All Rent Payment Fee Spending for Facility- based Housing |
|-------------|--|---|---|
| Walla Walla | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Government | \$0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Total | \$14,688 | \$3,120 |
| Whatcom | For-profit | \$0 | 0 |
| Whatcom | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Government | \$14,688 | \$3,120 |
| Whitman | Total | \$24,000 | \$8,400 |
| Whitman | For-profit | \$24,000 | \$8,400 |
| Whitman | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Government | \$0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Total | \$0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | For-profit | \$0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Non Profit | \$0 | \$0 |
| Yakima | Government | \$0 | \$0 |

Table 17 (pages 51-55): Calendar Year 2016 Summary of Reported Facility-Based Housing Spending by County

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Rented or Leased Facility | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Owned Facility | Households Housed With Rented or Leased Facility- based Housing | Households That Received Assistance in Owned Facilities | All Spending for Facility- based Housing Owned by the Provider | Total Fee Spending on Facility-based Housing Owned by the Provider |
|---------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Adams | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Adams | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Adams | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Asotin | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Benton- Franklin | Total | 0 | 148 | 148 | 148 | - | - |
| Benton- Franklin | For-profit | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Rented or Leased Facility | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Owned Facility | Households Housed With Rented or Leased Facility- based Housing | Households That Received Assistance in Owned Facilities | All Spending for Facility- based Housing Owned by the Provider | Total Fee Spending on Facility-based Housing Owned by the Provider |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Benton- Franklin | Non-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Benton- Franklin | Government | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Chelan- Douglas | Total | 22 | 368 | 43 | 629 | - | - |
| Chelan- Douglas | For-profit | 22 | - | 43 | - | - | - |
| Chelan- Douglas | Non-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Chelan- Douglas | Government | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Clallam | Total | 0 | 158 | 0 | 3517 | - | - |
| Clallam | For-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Clallam | Non-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Clallam | Government | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Clark | Total | 0 | 144 | 0 | 623 | - | - |
| Clark | For-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Clark | Non-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Clark | Government | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Columbia- Garfield | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Columbia- Garfield | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Columbia- Garfield | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Columbia- Garfield | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Cowlitz | Total | 0 | 56 | 0 | 171 | - | - |
| Cowlitz | For-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Cowlitz | Non-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Cowlitz | Government | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Ferry | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ferry | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Total | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Grant | For-profit | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Rented or Leased Facility | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Owned Facility | Households Housed With Rented or Leased Facility- based Housing | Households That Received Assistance in Owned Facilities | All Spending for Facility- based Housing Owned by the Provider | Total Fee Spending on Facility-based Housing Owned by the Provider |
|--------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Grant | Non-profit | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Grant | Government | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Total | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | For-profit | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Non-profit | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Grays Harbor | Government | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Island | Total | 76 | 12 | 87 | 27 | - | - |
| Island | For-profit | 76 | - | 87 | - | - | - |
| Island | Non-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Island | Government | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Jefferson | Total | 48 | 69 | 13 | 54 | - | - |
| Jefferson | For-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Jefferson | Non-profit | 48 | - | 13 | - | - | - |
| Jefferson | Government | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| King | Total | 992 | 2,099 | 5,657 | 3,256 | \$267,336 | \$0 |
| King | For-profit | 416 | 0 | 2370 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| King | Non-profit | 507 | 2,099 | 2892 | 3256 | \$267,336 | \$0 |
| King | Government | 69 | 0 | 395 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Total | 24 | 244 | 137 | 530 | \$125,940 | \$41,434 |
| Kitsap | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Kitsap | Non-profit | 24 | 244 | 137 | 530 | \$125,940 | \$41,434 |
| Kitsap | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Kittitas | Total | 0 | 20 | 0 | 51 | - | - |
| Kittitas | For-profit | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | - | 1 |
| Kittitas | Non-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Kittitas | Government | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Klickitat | Total | 0 | 26 | 0 | 44 | \$2,646 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Non-profit | 0 | 26 | 0 | 44 | \$2,646 | \$0 |
| Klickitat | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Lewis | Total | 0 | 72 | 0 | 293 | - | - |
| Lewis | For-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Lewis | Non-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Lewis | Government | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Rented or Leased Facility | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Owned Facility | Households Housed With Rented or Leased Facility- based Housing | Households That Received Assistance in Owned Facilities | All Spending for Facility- based Housing Owned by the Provider | Total Fee Spending on Facility-based Housing Owned by the Provider |
|--------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Lincoln | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Lincoln | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Mason | Total | 0 | 111 | 0 | 168 | - | - |
| Mason | For-profit | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Mason | Non-profit | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Mason | Government | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Okanogan | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Okanogan | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Pacific | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Pend Oreille | Total | 0 | 22 | 0 | 19 | - | - |
| Pend Oreille | For-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pend Oreille | Non-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pend Oreille | Government | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pierce | Total | 40 | 197 | 241 | 177 | \$27,406 | \$17,664 |
| Pierce | For-profit | - | 0 | - | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Pierce | Non-profit | - | 197 | - | 177 | \$27,406 | \$17,664 |
| Pierce | Government | - | 0 | - | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Skagit | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Skamania | Total | 0 | 154 | 0 | 353 | - | - |
| Skamania | For-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Skamania | Non-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Skamania | Government | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Snohomish | Total | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Snohomish | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| County | Type of Landlord Receiving Payments | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Rented or Leased Facility | Dedicated Homeless Beds in Owned Facility | Households Housed With Rented or Leased Facility- based Housing | Households That Received Assistance in Owned Facilities | All Spending for Facility- based Housing Owned by the Provider | Total Fee Spending on Facility-based Housing Owned by the Provider |
|-------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Snohomish | Non-profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Snohomish | Government | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Spokane | Total | 55 | 229 | 180 | 793 | - | - |
| Spokane | For-profit | 55 | 0 | 180 | 0 | - | - |
| Spokane | Non-profit | 0 | 229 | 0 | 793 | - | - |
| Spokane | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Stevens | Total | 0 | 19 | 0 | 80 | - | - |
| Stevens | For-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Stevens | Non-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Stevens | Government | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Thurston | Total | 37 | 89 | 750 | 722 | - | - |
| Thurston | For-profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Thurston | Non-profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Thurston | Government | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Total | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | For-profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Non-profit | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wahkiakum | Government | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Walla Walla | Total | 0 | 67 | 0 | 54 | \$7,470 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Non-profit | 0 | 28 | 0 | 23 | \$3,120 | \$0 |
| Walla Walla | Government | 0 | 39 | 0 | 31 | \$4,350 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Total | 235 | 114 | 294 | 221 | \$7,015 | \$7,015 |
| Whatcom | For-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Whatcom | Non-profit | 0 | 114 | 0 | 221 | \$7,015 | \$7,015 |
| Whatcom | Government | 235 | 0 | 294 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Whitman | Total | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Whitman | For-profit | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Whitman | Non-profit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Whitman | Government | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yakima | Total | 0 | 108 | 0 | 116 | - | - |
| Yakima | For-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Yakima | Non-profit | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Yakima | Government | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |

Appendix B: Point-in-Time Count of Homeless Persons Results

Tables 18, 19, 20, and 21 are the results of the annual homeless Point-in-Time Count conducted statewide on January 25, 2017.

Table 18: 2017 Point-In-Time Count of Homeless Persons Statewide Totals

| Household T | Household Type | | Transitional | Safe Haven | Total Sheltered | Unsheltered | TOTAL |
|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------|--------|
| Households with | Households | 750 | 1,073 | 0 | 1,823 | 170 | 1,993 |
| adults and minors | Persons | 2,492 | 3,296 | 0 | 5,788 | 543 | 6,331 |
| Households with only | Households | 64 | 35 | 0 | 99 | 184 | 283 |
| minors* | Persons | 66 | 41 | 0 | 107 | 214 | 321 |
| Households without | Households | 4,836 | 1,661 | 43 | 6,540 | 5,070 | 11,610 |
| minors | Persons | 4,890 | 1,693 | 43 | 6,626 | 7,834 | 14,460 |
| | Households | 5,650 | 2,769 | 43 | 8,462 | 5,424 | 13,886 |
| | Persons | 7,448 | 5,030 | 43 | 12,521 | 8,591 | 21,112 |
| Totals | Persons under 18 | 1,571 | 2,032 | 0 | 3,603 | 506 | 4,109 |
| | Persons 18-24 | 490 | 556 | 0 | 1,046 | 1,250 | 2,296 |
| | Persons over 24 | 5,387 | 2,442 | 43 | 7,872 | 6,835 | 14,707 |
| | Chronically H | omeless Individu | ıals | | 1,670 | 3,120 | 4,790 |
| | • | omeless Familie | | | 147 | 39 | 186 |
| | Chronically H | omeless Person | s in Families | | 456 | 125 | 581 |
| Subpopulations | Veterans | | | | 1,094 | 999 | 2,093 |
| | Female Veter | ans | | | 71 | 46 | 117 |
| | Severely Mer | itally III Adults | | | 1,863 | 3,163 | 5,026 |
| | Chronic Subs | tance Abuse Ad | ults | | 1,136 | 2,387 | 3,523 |
| | Persons with | HIV/AIDS Adults | 3 | | 70 | 187 | 257 |

^{*} Households with only minors are composed exclusively by persons under age 18, including parenting minors.

Table 19: 2017 Point-in-Time Count of Homeless Persons by County

| | Sheltered Households | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------------|---------|
| County | Without Minors | With Minors | With Only Minors | Total |
| | Persons | Persons | Persons | Persons |
| Adams | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Asotin | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Benton-Franklin | 70 | 115 | 10 | 195 |
| Chelan-Douglas | 171 | 163 | 0 | 334 |
| Clallam | 121 | 72 | 0 | 193 |
| Clark | 260 | 215 | 5 | 480 |
| Columbia | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Cowlitz | 137 | 98 | 0 | 235 |
| Ferry | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Garfield | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Grant | 39 | 9 | 0 | 48 |
| Grays Harbor | 25 | 83 | 2 | 110 |
| Island | 25 | 23 | 0 | 48 |
| Jefferson | 20 | 80 | 0 | 100 |
| King | 2,752 | 3,376 | 30 | 6,158 |
| Kitsap | 149 | 202 | 1 | 352 |
| Kittitas | 10 | 20 | 0 | 30 |
| Klickitat-Skamania | 9 | 7 | 0 | 16 |
| Lewis | 20 | 35 | 0 | 55 |
| Lincoln | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 |
| Mason | 93 | 25 | 0 | 118 |
| Okanogan | 2 | - | 0 | 2 |
| Pacific | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Pend Oreille | 9 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| Pierce | 419 | 398 | 0 | 817 |
| San Juan | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Skagit | 115 | 68 | 1 | 184 |
| Snohomish | 237 | 292 | 22 | 551 |
| Spokane | 293 | 640 | 19 | 952 |
| Stevens | 11 | 6 | 0 | 17 |
| Thurston | 216 | 186 | 8 | 410 |
| Wahkiakum | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Walla Walla | 20 | 82 | 1 | 103 |
| Whatcom | 237 | 199 | 7 | 443 |
| Whitman | 36 | 4 | 0 | 40 |
| Yakima | 285 | 216 | 1 | 502 |
| Total | 5,788 | 6,626 | 107 | 12,521 |

| Unsheltered Households | | | |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------|
| Without Minors | With Minors | With Only Minors | Total |
| Persons | Persons | Persons | Persons |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 10 | 0 | 16 |
| 0 | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| 18 | 18 | 0 | 36 |
| 3 | 85 | 0 | 88 |
| 135 | 132 | 2 | 269 |
| 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 96 | 0 | 96 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 26 | 0 | 28 |
| 4 | 87 | 0 | 91 |
| 8 | 68 | 3 | 79 |
| 23 | 64 | 0 | 87 |
| 81 | 5,209 | 195 | 5,485 |
| 13 | 152 | 0 | 165 |
| 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| 12 | 77 | 0 | 89 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | 60 | 0 | 98 |
| 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| 2 | 10 | 0 | 12 |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| 23 | 481 | 0 | 504 |
| 10 | 23 | 3 | 36 |
| 21 | 116 | 0 | 137 |
| 44 | 462 | 9 | 515 |
| 6 | 130 | 2 | 138 |
| 7 | 23 | 0 | 30 |
| 40 | 84 | 0 | 124 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 59 | 0 | 65 |
| 35 | 235 | 0 | 270 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 70 | 0 | 70 |
| 543 | 7,834 | 214 | 8,591 |

Table 19 (continued): 2017 Point-in-Time Count of Homeless Persons by County

| | Total Households | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------------|---------|
| | (sheltered and unsheltered) | | | |
| County | Without Minors | With Minors | With Only Minors | Total |
| | Persons | Persons | Persons | Persons |
| Adams | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Asotin | 6 | 12 | 0 | 18 |
| Benton-Franklin | 70 | 143 | 10 | 223 |
| Chelan-Douglas | 189 | 181 | 0 | 370 |
| Clallam | 124 | 157 | 0 | 281 |
| Clark | 395 | 347 | 7 | 749 |
| Columbia | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Cowlitz | 137 | 194 | 0 | 331 |
| Ferry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Garfield | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grant | 41 | 35 | 0 | 76 |
| Grays Harbor | 29 | 170 | 2 | 201 |
| Island | 33 | 91 | 3 | 127 |
| Jefferson | 43 | 144 | 0 | 187 |
| King | 2,833 | 8,585 | 225 | 11,643 |
| Kitsap | 162 | 354 | 1 | 517 |
| Kittitas | 10 | 28 | 0 | 38 |
| Klickitat- Skamania | 12 | 9 | 0 | 21 |
| Lewis | 32 | 112 | 0 | 144 |
| Lincoln | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 |
| Mason | 131 | 85 | 0 | 216 |
| Okanogan | 2 | 13 | 0 | 15 |
| Pacific | 2 | 10 | 0 | 12 |
| Pend Oreille | 12 | 4 | 0 | 16 |
| Pierce | 442 | 879 | 0 | 1,321 |
| San Juan | 10 | 23 | 3 | 36 |
| Skagit | 136 | 184 | 1 | 321 |
| Snohomish | 281 | 754 | 31 | 1,066 |
| Spokane | 299 | 770 | 21 | 1,090 |
| Stevens | 18 | 29 | 0 | 47 |
| Thurston | 256 | 270 | 8 | 534 |
| Wahkiakum | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Walla Walla | 26 | 141 | 1 | 168 |
| Whatcom | 272 | 434 | 7 | 713 |
| Whitman | 36 | 5 | 0 | 41 |
| Yakima | 285 | 286 | 1 | 572 |
| Total | 6,331 | 14,460 | 321 | 21,112 |

| Chronically Homeless Individuals | | | |
|--|-------------|---------|--|
| Emergency Shelter and Safe Haven | Unsheltered | Total | |
| Persons | Persons | Persons | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | |
| 25 | 17 | 42 | |
| 15 | 8 | 23 | |
| 11 | 36 | 47 | |
| 51 | 35 | 86 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 7 | 62 | 69 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 | 7 | 8 | |
| 16 | 55 | 71 | |
| 4 | 26 | 30 | |
| 18 | 26 | 44 | |
| 986 | 1787 | 2773 | |
| 5 | 65 | 70 | |
| 8 | 6 | 14 | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| 10 | 36 | 46 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 10 | 27 | 37 | |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| 82 | 255 | 337 | |
| 0 | 6 | 6 | |
| 8 | 65 | 73 | |
| 74 | 313 | 387 | |
| 239 | 86 | 325 | |
| 1 | 5 | 6 | |
| 4 | 28 | 32 | |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 4 | 32 | 36 | |
| 50 | 117 | 167 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 37 | 11 | 48 | |
| 1670 | 3,120 | 4,790 | |

Table 20: Change in Point-in-Time Counts from 2006 to 2017 by County

| | Sheltered Households | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------|
| County | Without Minors | With Minors | Total |
| | Persons | Persons | Persons |
| Adams | -7 | -31 | -38 |
| Asotin | -6 | -6 | -12 |
| Benton-Franklin | -315 | -182 | -497 |
| Chelan-Douglas | -32 | 37 | 5 |
| Clallam | -16 | -60 | -76 |
| Clark | -240 | -400 | -640 |
| Columbia | -4 | 0 | -4 |
| Cowlitz | -25 | -64 | -89 |
| Ferry | -13 | -4 | -17 |
| Garfield | -5 | 0 | -5 |
| Grant | -71 | -6 | -77 |
| Grays Harbor | -15 | -37 | -52 |
| Island | -68 | 14 | -54 |
| Jefferson | 0 | -7 | -7 |
| King | -136 | 330 | 194 |
| Kitsap | -131 | 48 | -83 |
| Kittitas | -12 | -6 | -18 |
| Klickitat-Skamania | -22 | 1 | -21 |
| Lewis | -57 | 2 | -55 |
| Lincoln | -35 | -15 | -50 |
| Mason | 29 | 20 | 49 |
| Okanogan | -52 | -88 | -140 |
| Pacific | -31 | -4 | -35 |
| Pend Oreille | -7 | -2 | -9 |
| Pierce | -202 | -39 | -241 |
| San Juan | -7 | 0 | -7 |
| Skagit | -84 | -150 | -234 |
| Snohomish | -661 | -266 | -927 |
| Spokane | -224 | 103 | -121 |
| Stevens | -8 | -3 | -11 |
| Thurston | 80 | 11 | 91 |
| Wahkiakum | -7 | -12 | -19 |
| Walla Walla | -81 | -23 | -104 |
| Whatcom | -72 | -139 | -211 |
| Whitman | -40 | -25 | -65 |
| Yakima | 51 | -8 | 43 |
| Total | -2,526 | -1,011 | -3,537 |

| Unsheltered Households | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|--|
| Without Minors With Minors Total | | | |
| Persons | Persons | Persons | |
| -23 | -21 | -44 | |
| 6 | -1 | 5 | |
| -23 | -8 | -31 | |
| -57 | -65 | -122 | |
| -22 | -93 | -115 | |
| -23 | 21 | -2 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| -3 | -35 | -38 | |
| -2 | -5 | -7 | |
| 0 | -1 | -1 | |
| 2 | 26 | 28 | |
| -8 | 27 | 19 | |
| -13 | 50 | 37 | |
| 23 | 30 | 53 | |
| -502 | 4041 | 3,539 | |
| -23 | 76 | 53 | |
| -4 | -15 | -19 | |
| 3 | -2 | 1 | |
| -6 | 41 | 35 | |
| 0 | -3 | -3 | |
| -27 | 49 | 22 | |
| -5 | -18 | -23 | |
| -19 | -15 | -34 | |
| -14 | -1 | -15 | |
| -19 | 183 | 164 | |
| -12 | 5 | -7 | |
| -27 | -27 | -54 | |
| -70 | -239 | -309 | |
| -75 | -306 | -381 | |
| 7 | 17 | 24 | |
| 33 | -31 | 2 | |
| 0 | -4 | -4 | |
| 2 | 24 | 26 | |
| 20 | 66 | 86 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| -52 | -146 | -198 | |
| -933 | 3620 | 2,687 | |

Table 20 (continued): Change in Point-in-Time Counts from 2006 to 2017 by County

| | Total Households (sheltered and unsheltered) | | |
|-----------------|--|-------------|---------|
| County | Without Minors | With Minors | Total |
| | Persons | Persons | Persons |
| Adams | -30 | -52 | -82 |
| Asotin | 0 | -7 | -7 |
| Benton-Franklin | -338 | -190 | -528 |
| Chelan-Douglas | -89 | -28 | -117 |
| Clallam | -38 | -153 | -191 |
| Clark | -263 | -379 | -642 |
| Columbia | -4 | 0 | -4 |
| Cowlitz | -28 | -99 | -127 |
| Ferry | -15 | -9 | -24 |
| Garfield | -5 | -1 | -6 |
| Grant | -69 | 20 | -49 |
| Grays Harbor | -23 | -10 | -33 |
| Island | -81 | 64 | -17 |
| Jefferson | 23 | 23 | 46 |
| King | -638 | 4371 | 3,733 |
| Kitsap | -154 | 124 | -30 |
| Kittitas | -16 | -21 | -37 |
| Klickitat- | -19 | -1 | -20 |
| Lewis | -63 | 43 | -20 |
| Lincoln | -35 | -18 | -53 |
| Mason | 2 | 69 | 71 |
| Okanogan | -57 | -106 | -163 |
| Pacific | -50 | -19 | -69 |
| Pend Oreille | -21 | -3 | -24 |
| Pierce | -221 | 144 | -77 |
| San Juan | -19 | 5 | -14 |
| Skagit | -111 | -177 | -288 |
| Snohomish | -731 | -505 | -1236 |
| Spokane | -299 | -203 | -502 |
| Stevens | -1 | 14 | 13 |
| Thurston | 113 | -20 | 93 |
| Wahkiakum | -7 | -16 | -23 |
| Walla Walla | -79 | 1 | -78 |
| Whatcom | -52 | -73 | -125 |
| Whitman | -40 | -25 | -65 |
| Yakima | -1 | -154 | -155 |
| Total | -3,459 | 2,609 | -850 |

| Chronically Homeless Individuals | | | |
|--|-------------|---------|--|
| Emergency Shelter and Safe Haven | Unsheltered | Total | |
| Persons | Persons | Persons | |
| -7 | -6 | -13 | |
| -2 | 1 | -1 | |
| -17 | 4 | -13 | |
| -3 | 0 | -3 | |
| -17 | 4 | -13 | |
| -55 | -38 | -93 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| -10 | 50 | 40 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| -1 | 0 | -1 | |
| -5 | 7 | 2 | |
| -12 | 23 | 11 | |
| -2 | 15 | 13 | |
| 8 | 12 | 20 | |
| -157 | 1,086 | 929 | |
| -82 | 42 | -40 | |
| 1 | 3 | 4 | |
| -8 | 1 | -7 | |
| 2 | 27 | 29 | |
| -1 | -1 | -2 | |
| 10 | 27 | 37 | |
| -9 | -1 | -10 | |
| -1 | -7 | -8 | |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| -52 | 122 | 70 | |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| -20 | 34 | 14 | |
| -99 | 209 | 110 | |
| 151 | 49 | 200 | |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | |
| -59 | -12 | -71 | |
| 1 | -1 | 0 | |
| -20 | 24 | 4 | |
| -48 | 39 | -9 | |
| 0 | -1 | -1 | |
| -56 | -52 | -108 | |
| -569 | 1,664 | 1,095 | |

Table 21: Rank Order Change in Prevalence of Homelessness by County from 2006 to 2017

| County | Change in Count of Homeless People | Change in Prevalence of Homelessness* |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Ferry | -24 | -100% |
| Garfield | -6 | -100% |
| Adams | -82 | -95% |
| Okanogan | -163 | -92% |
| Lincoln | -53 | -87% |
| Pacific | -69 | -85% |
| Walla Walla | -78 | -85% |
| Benton-Franklin | -528 | -70% |
| Columbia | -4 | -67% |
| Yakima | -155 | -61% |
| Pend Oreille | -24 | -60% |
| Snohomish | -1,236 | -54% |
| Kittitas | -37 | -49% |
| Klickitat-Skamania | -20 | -49% |
| Skagit | -288 | -47% |
| Clark | -642 | -46% |
| Clallam | -191 | -40% |
| Grant | -49 | -39% |
| Whatcom | -125 | -32% |
| Spokane | -502 | -32% |
| Asotin | -7 | -28% |
| San Juan | -14 | -28% |
| Cowlitz | -127 | -28% |
| Chelan-Douglas | -117 | -24% |
| Whitman | -65 | -15% |
| Grays Harbor | -33 | -14% |
| Lewis | -20 | -12% |
| Island | -17 | -12% |
| Pierce | -77 | -6% |
| Kitsap | -30 | -5% |
| Wahkiakum | -23 | -4% |
| Thurston | 93 | 21% |
| Jefferson | 46 | 33% |
| Stevens | 13 | 38% |
| King | 3,733 | 47% |
| Mason | 71 | 49% |

^{*}Change in per capita homelessness based on data from annual Point in Time Count and US Census Bureau.