RCW 82.02.020 State preempts certain tax fields—Fees prohibited for the development of land or buildings-Voluntary payments by developers authorized—Limitations—Exceptions. (Effective until January 1, 2028.) Except only as expressly provided in chapters 67.28, 81.104, and 82.14 RCW, the state preempts the field of imposing retail sales and use taxes and taxes upon parimutuel wagering authorized pursuant to RCW 67.16.060, conveyances, and cigarettes, and no county, town, or other municipal subdivision shall have the right to impose taxes of that nature. Except as provided in RCW 64.34.440 and 82.02.050 through 82.02.090, no county, city, town, or other municipal corporation shall impose any tax, fee, or charge, either direct or indirect, on the construction or reconstruction of residential buildings, commercial buildings, industrial buildings, or on any other building or building space or appurtenance thereto, or on the development, subdivision, classification, or reclassification of land. However, this section does not preclude dedications of land or easements within the proposed development or plat which the county, city, town, or other municipal corporation can demonstrate are reasonably necessary as a direct result of the proposed development or plat to which the dedication of land or easement is to apply.

This section does not prohibit voluntary agreements with counties, cities, towns, or other municipal corporations that allow a payment in lieu of a dedication of land or to mitigate a direct impact that has been identified as a consequence of a proposed development, subdivision, or plat. A local government shall not use such voluntary agreements for local off-site transportation improvements within the geographic boundaries of the area or areas covered by an adopted transportation program authorized by chapter 39.92 RCW. Any such voluntary agreement is subject to the following provisions:

- (1) The payment shall be held in a reserve account and may only be expended to fund a capital improvement agreed upon by the parties to mitigate the identified, direct impact;
- (2) The payment shall be expended in all cases within five years of collection; and
- (3) Any payment not so expended shall be refunded with interest to be calculated from the original date the deposit was received by the county and at the same rate applied to tax refunds pursuant to RCW 84.69.100; however, if the payment is not expended within five years due to delay attributable to the developer, the payment shall be refunded without interest.

No county, city, town, or other municipal corporation shall require any payment as part of such a voluntary agreement which the county, city, town, or other municipal corporation cannot establish is reasonably necessary as a direct result of the proposed development or plat.

Nothing in this section prohibits cities, towns, counties, or other municipal corporations from collecting reasonable fees from an applicant for a permit or other governmental approval to cover the cost to the city, town, county, or other municipal corporation of processing applications, inspecting and reviewing plans, or preparing detailed statements required by chapter 43.21C RCW, including reasonable fees that are consistent with RCW 43.21C.420(6), 43.21C.428, and beginning July 1, 2014, RCW 35.91.020.

This section does not limit the existing authority of any county, city, town, or other municipal corporation to impose special

assessments on property specifically benefited thereby in the manner prescribed by law.

Nothing in this section prohibits counties, cities, or towns from imposing or permits counties, cities, or towns to impose water, sewer, natural gas, drainage utility, and drainage system charges. However, no such charge shall exceed the proportionate share of such utility or system's capital costs which the county, city, or town can demonstrate are attributable to the property being charged. Furthermore, these provisions may not be interpreted to expand or contract any existing authority of counties, cities, or towns to impose such charges.

Nothing in this section prohibits a transportation benefit district from imposing fees or charges authorized in RCW 36.73.120 nor prohibits the legislative authority of a county, city, or town from approving the imposition of such fees within a transportation benefit district.

Nothing in this section prohibits counties, cities, or towns from imposing transportation impact fees authorized pursuant to chapter 39.92 RCW.

Nothing in this section prohibits counties, cities, or towns from requiring property owners to provide relocation assistance to tenants under RCW 59.18.440 and 59.18.450.

Nothing in this section limits the authority of counties, cities, or towns to implement programs consistent with RCW 36.70A.540, nor to enforce agreements made pursuant to such programs.

This section does not apply to special purpose districts formed and acting pursuant to Title 54, 57, or 87 RCW, nor is the authority conferred by these titles affected. [2013 c 243 s 4; 2010 c 153 s 3; 2009 c 535 s 1103; 2008 c 113 s 2; 2006 c 149 s 3; 2005 c 502 s 5; 1997 c 452 s 21; 1996 c 230 s 1612; 1990 1st ex.s. c 17 s 42; 1988 c 179 s 6; 1987 c 327 s 17; 1982 1st ex.s. c 49 s 5; 1979 ex.s. c 196 s 3; 1970 ex.s. c 94 s 8; 1967 c 236 s 16; 1961 c 15 s 82.02.020. Prior: (i) 1935 c 180 s 29; RRS s 8370-29. (ii) 1949 c 228 s 28; 1939 c 225 s 22; 1937 c 227 s 24; Rem. Supp. 1949 s 8370-219. Formerly RCW 82.32.370.]

Intent—2010 c 153: See note following RCW 43.21C.420.

Intent—Construction—2009 c 535: See notes following RCW
82.04.192.

Application—Effective date—2008 c 113: See notes following RCW 64.34.440.

Findings—Construction—2006 c 149: See notes following RCW 36.70A.540.

Effective date—2005 c 502: See note following RCW 1.12.070.

Intent—Severability—1997 c 452: See notes following RCW
67.28.080.

Savings-1997 c 452: See note following RCW 67.28.181.

Part headings not law—Effective date—1996 c 230: See notes following RCW 57.02.001.

Severability—Part, section headings not law—1990 1st ex.s. c 17: See RCW 36.70A.900 and 36.70A.901.

Severability—Prospective application—Section captions—1988 c 179: See RCW 39.92.900 and 39.92.901.

Intent—Construction—Effective date—Fire district funding—1982 1st ex.s. c 49: See notes following RCW 35.21.710.

Effective date—1979 ex.s. c 196: See note following RCW 82.04.240.

RCW 82.02.020 State preempts certain tax fields—Fees prohibited for the development of land or buildings-Voluntary payments by developers authorized—Limitations—Exceptions. (Effective January 1, 2028.) Except only as expressly provided in chapters 67.28, 81.104, and 82.14 RCW, the state preempts the field of imposing retail sales and use taxes and taxes upon parimutuel wagering authorized pursuant to RCW 67.16.060, conveyances, and cigarettes, and no county, town, or other municipal subdivision shall have the right to impose taxes of that nature. Except as provided in RCW 64.90.655 and 82.02.050 through 82.02.090, no county, city, town, or other municipal corporation shall impose any tax, fee, or charge, either direct or indirect, on the construction or reconstruction of residential buildings, commercial buildings, industrial buildings, or on any other building or building space or appurtenance thereto, or on the development, subdivision, classification, or reclassification of land. However, this section does not preclude dedications of land or easements within the proposed development or plat which the county, city, town, or other municipal corporation can demonstrate are reasonably necessary as a direct result of the proposed development or plat to which the dedication of land or easement is to apply.

This section does not prohibit voluntary agreements with counties, cities, towns, or other municipal corporations that allow a payment in lieu of a dedication of land or to mitigate a direct impact that has been identified as a consequence of a proposed development, subdivision, or plat. A local government shall not use such voluntary agreements for local off-site transportation improvements within the geographic boundaries of the area or areas covered by an adopted transportation program authorized by chapter 39.92 RCW. Any such voluntary agreement is subject to the following provisions:

- (1) The payment shall be held in a reserve account and may only be expended to fund a capital improvement agreed upon by the parties to mitigate the identified, direct impact;
- (2) The payment shall be expended in all cases within five years of collection; and
- (3) Any payment not so expended shall be refunded with interest to be calculated from the original date the deposit was received by the county and at the same rate applied to tax refunds pursuant to RCW 84.69.100; however, if the payment is not expended within five years due to delay attributable to the developer, the payment shall be refunded without interest.

No county, city, town, or other municipal corporation shall require any payment as part of such a voluntary agreement which the county, city, town, or other municipal corporation cannot establish is

reasonably necessary as a direct result of the proposed development or plat.

Nothing in this section prohibits cities, towns, counties, or other municipal corporations from collecting reasonable fees from an applicant for a permit or other governmental approval to cover the cost to the city, town, county, or other municipal corporation of processing applications, inspecting and reviewing plans, or preparing detailed statements required by chapter 43.21C RCW, including reasonable fees that are consistent with RCW 43.21C.420(6), 43.21C.428, and beginning July 1, 2014, RCW 35.91.020.

This section does not limit the existing authority of any county, city, town, or other municipal corporation to impose special assessments on property specifically benefited thereby in the manner prescribed by law.

Nothing in this section prohibits counties, cities, or towns from imposing or permits counties, cities, or towns to impose water, sewer, natural gas, drainage utility, and drainage system charges. However, no such charge shall exceed the proportionate share of such utility or system's capital costs which the county, city, or town can demonstrate are attributable to the property being charged. Furthermore, these provisions may not be interpreted to expand or contract any existing authority of counties, cities, or towns to impose such charges.

Nothing in this section prohibits a transportation benefit district from imposing fees or charges authorized in RCW 36.73.120 nor prohibits the legislative authority of a county, city, or town from approving the imposition of such fees within a transportation benefit district.

Nothing in this section prohibits counties, cities, or towns from imposing transportation impact fees authorized pursuant to chapter 39.92 RCW.

Nothing in this section prohibits counties, cities, or towns from requiring property owners to provide relocation assistance to tenants under RCW 59.18.440 and 59.18.450.

Nothing in this section limits the authority of counties, cities, or towns to implement programs consistent with RCW 36.70A.540, nor to enforce agreements made pursuant to such programs.

This section does not apply to special purpose districts formed and acting pursuant to Title 54, 57, or 87 RCW, nor is the authority conferred by these titles affected. [2024 c 321 s 431; 2013 c 243 s 4; 2010 c 153 s 3; 2009 c 535 s 1103; 2008 c 113 s 2; 2006 c 149 s 3; 2005 c 502 s 5; 1997 c 452 s 21; 1996 c 230 s 1612; 1990 1st ex.s. c 17 s 42; 1988 c 179 s 6; 1987 c 327 s 17; 1982 1st ex.s. c 49 s 5; 1979 ex.s. c 196 s 3; 1970 ex.s. c 94 s 8; 1967 c 236 s 16; 1961 c 15 s 82.02.020. Prior: (i) 1935 c 180 s 29; RRS s 8370-29. (ii) 1949 c 228 s 28; 1939 c 225 s 22; 1937 c 227 s 24; Rem. Supp. 1949 s 8370-219. Formerly RCW 82.32.370.]

Effective dates—2024 c 321 ss 319 and 401-432: See note following RCW 64.90.485.

Intent—2010 c 153: See note following RCW 43.21C.420.

Intent—Construction—2009 c 535: See notes following RCW
82.04.192.

- Application—Effective date—2008 c 113: See notes following RCW 64.34.440.
- Findings—Construction—2006 c 149: See notes following RCW 36.70A.540.
 - Effective date—2005 c 502: See note following RCW 1.12.070.
- Intent—Severability—1997 c 452: See notes following RCW
 67.28.080.
 - Savings-1997 c 452: See note following RCW 67.28.181.
- Part headings not law—Effective date—1996 c 230: See notes following RCW 57.02.001.
- Severability—Part, section headings not law—1990 1st ex.s. c 17: See RCW 36.70A.900 and 36.70A.901.
- Severability—Prospective application—Section captions—1988 c 179: See RCW 39.92.900 and 39.92.901.
- Intent—Construction—Effective date—Fire district funding—1982 1st ex.s. c 49: See notes following RCW 35.21.710.
- Effective date—1979 ex.s. c 196: See note following RCW 82.04.240.